Sheffield Area El Sch

Schoolwide Title 1 School Plan | 2024 - 2025

Profile and Plan Essentials

School		AUN/Branch
Sheffield Area El Sch		105628302
Address 1		
6760 Route 6		
Address 2		
City	State	Zip Code
Sheffield	PA	16347
Chief School Administrate	or	Chief School Administrator Email
Gary L Weber		weberg@wcsdpa.org
Principal Name		
Kylie Harris		
Principal Email		
harrisk@wcsdpa.org		
Principal Phone Number		Principal Extension
(814) 968-3720		2610
School Improvement Facilitator Name		School Improvement Facilitator Email

Steering Committee

Name	Position/Role	Building/Group/Organization	Email
Kylie Harris	Principal	Sheffield Area Elementary School	harrisk@wcsdpa.org
Emyle Sherrard	School Counselor	Sheffield Area Elementary School	sherrarde@wcsdpa.org
Lisa Smith	Special Education Supervisor	Sheffield Area Elementary School	smithla@wcsdpa.org
Anna Peterson	Reading Specialist	Sheffield Area Elementary School	Petersona@wcsdpa.org
Marijo Perry	Reading Specialist	Sheffield Area Elementary School	durnellp@wcsdpa.org
Melissa Buck	Parent	Sheffield Area Elementary School	melissabuck0712@yahoo.com
Heather Wotorson	Parent	Sheffield Area Elementary School	hwotorson@gmail.com
Lynn Shultz	District Level Leaders	Central Office	shultzl@wcsdpa.org
Medina Reynolds	Other	Central Office	reynoldsm@wcsdpa.org
Samantha Ettinger	Parent	Parent	sammiesue11@outlook.com
Erin Napolitan	Paraprofessional	Sheffield Area Elementary School	napolitane@wcsdpa.org

Vision for Learning

Vision for Learning

In all educational settings, the teachers and staff from Sheffield Area Elementary School will work collaboratively to build a safe, loving, and flexible environment which provides high quality learning through differentiation. With help from our parents and community, we will expand our students' knowledge of the world and create lifelong learners.

Future Ready PA Index

Select the grade levels served by your school. Select all that apply.

True K	True 1	True 2	True 3	True 4	True 5	False 6
False 7	False 8	False 9	False 10	False 11	False 12	

Review of the School Level Performance

Strengths

Indicator	Comments/Notable Observations
	2022 - 2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment
2022 - 2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The	Participation Rate of 99%. Students in the White subgroup had a PA State Assessment
All Student Group had a PA ELA State	Participation Rate of 99%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA
Assessment Participation Rate of 99%.	State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%. Students in the Students with Disabilities
	subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.2%.
2022 2022 Moth BSSA Portioination:	2022 - 2023 Math PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment
2022 - 2023 Math PSSA Participation:	Participation Rate of 99%. Students in the White subgroup had a PA State Assessment
The All Student Group had a PA Math	Participation Rate of 99%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA
State Assessment Participation Rate of 99%.	State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%. Students in the Students with Disabilities
3370.	subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.2%.

Challenges

Indicator	Comments/Notable Observations
2022-2023 PSSA ELA All Student Group 42.3% Proficient or Advanced.	2022-2023 ELA PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 41.4% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 40.7% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 20% scored proficient or advanced. ELA Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 50% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth (70.0%). The Statewide Average Growth Score was 15%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 50%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 50%. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. ELA Advanced on the ELA PSSA: 3.8% of the All Student
	Group scored advanced on the ELA PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 15.0%. 3% of

	students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 1.7% of students in the
	Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 4% of students in the
	Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.
	2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 31.3% scored proficient or
	advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 25.4% scored proficient or
	advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12% scored proficient or advanced.
	Math Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 74% and
0000 0000 D004 Matter and atter All	exceeded the standard demonstrating growth (70%). The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%.
2022-2023 PSSA Mathematics All Student Group scored 31.7%	Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 73%. Students in the
	Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 74%. Students in the
Proficient or Advanced.	Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 2.9% of the All Student
	Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 14.0%. 2.0% of
	students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 0% of students in the
	Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 0.0% of students in the
	Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.

Review of Grade Level(s) and Individual Student Group(s)

Strengths

Indicator	
2022-2023 ELA PSSA	Comments/Notable Observations
Participation	2022 -2023 ELA PSSA Participation Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA State
ESSA Student Subgroups	Assessment Participation Rate of 95.4%.
Economically Disadvantaged	
Indicator	
2022-2023 Math PSSA	Comments/Notable Observations
Participation	2022 -2023 Math PSSA Participation Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA
ESSA Student Subgroups	State Assessment Participation Rate of 95.3%.
Economically Disadvantaged	
Indicator	
2022-2023 Science PSSA	Comments/Notable Observations
Participation	2022 -2023 Science PSSA Participation Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA
ESSA Student Subgroups	State Assessment Participation Rate of 94.4%.
Economically Disadvantaged	

Challenges

Indicator	
2022-2023 ELA PSSA	
Achievement	Comments/Notable Observations
ESSA Student Subgroups	Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 53.2% scored proficient or advanced.
Economically	
Disadvantaged	
Indicator	
2022 - 2023 Math PSSA	
Achievement	Comments/Notable Observations
ESSA Student Subgroups	Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 27.9% scored proficient or advanced.
Economically	
Disadvantaged	
Indicator	
2022-2023 ELA Standard	Comments/Notable Observations
Demonstrating Growth	2022-2023 ELA Standard Demonstrating Growth: Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup
ESSA Student Subgroups	earned an Academic Growth Score of 79% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The
Economically	Statewide Average Growth Score was 75.4%.
Disadvantaged	

Summary

Strengths

Review the strengths listed above and copy and paste 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

2022 - 2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.2%.

2022 -2023 Math PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 97.7%.

2022 -2023 Science PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 95.8%.

2022-2023 Science Growth Scores: Science subject area exceeded the statewide standard growth score.

Challenges

Review the challenges listed above and copy and paste 2-5 challenges if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Future Ready PA index targets.

2022-2023 PSSA ELA All Student Group scored 50.4% Proficient or Advanced. This does not meet the Statewide Average of 54.5% 2022-2023 PSSA Math All Student Group scored 28.9% Proficient or Advanced. This falls well below the Statewide Average of 38.3%

Local Assessment

English Language Arts

Data	Comments/Notable Observations
	DIBELS Reading - 2024- End of Year - Grade 1 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 1 = 4%
	Below Benchmark 6 = 24% At Benchmark 11 = 44% Above Benchmark 7 = 28% Letter Names (LNF)
	Well Below Benchmark 6 = 24% Below Benchmark 2 = 8% At Benchmark 17 = 68% Above
	Benchmark NA Phonemic Awareness (PSF) Well Below Benchmark 0 = 0% Below Benchmark
	1 = 4% At Benchmark 12 = 48% Above Benchmark 12 = 48% Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS) Well Below
2023-2024	Benchmark 2 = 8% Below Benchmark 2 = 8% At Benchmark 14 = 56% Above Benchmark 7 =
DIBELS Reading	28% Decoding (NWF-WRC) Well Below Benchmark 0 = 0% Below Benchmark 3 = 12% At Benchmark
Grade 1	14 = 56% Above Benchmark 8 = 32% Word Reading (WRF) Well Below Benchmark 4 =
	16% Below Benchmark 8 = 32% At Benchmark 6 = 24% Above Benchmark 7 = 28%
	Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below Benchmark 6 = 24% Below Benchmark 6 = 24% At
	Benchmark 13 = 52% Above Benchmark NA Reading Fluency (ORF) Well Below Benchmark
	2 = 8% Below Benchmark 9 = 36% At Benchmark 8 = 32% Above Benchmark
	6 = 24%
	DIBELS Reading - 2024 End of Year - Grade 2 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 11 = 24% Below
	Benchmark 10 = 22% At Benchmark 9 = 20% Above Benchmark 15 = 34%
	Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS) Well Below Benchmark 7 = 16% Below Benchmark 7 = 16%
	At Benchmark 26 = 57% Above Benchmark 5 = 11% Decoding (NWF-WRC)
	Well Below Benchmark 8 = 18% Below Benchmark 6 = 13% At Benchmark 26 =
2023-2024	58% Above Benchmark 5 = 11% Word Reading (WRF) Well Below Benchmark 11 = 24%
DIBELS Reading	Below Benchmark 10 = 22% At Benchmark 21 = 47% Above Benchmark
Grade 2	3 = 7% Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below Benchmark 8 = 18% Below Benchmark 4 =
	9% At Benchmark 33 = 73% Above Benchmark NA Reading Fluency (ORF) Well
	Below Benchmark 16 = 36% Below Benchmark 6 = 13% At Benchmark 10 = 22%
	Above Benchmark 13 = 29% Reading Comprehension (MAZE) Well Below Benchmark 15
	= 33% Below Benchmark 3 = 7% At Benchmark 17 = 38% Above Benchmark
	DIRECT Deading 2024 End of Veer Crede E. Composite Seers Well Below Benchmark 21 – 50% Below
2023-2024	DIBELS Reading - 2024 End of Year - Grade 5 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 21 = 50% Below Benchmark 1 = 2% At Benchmark 5 = 12% Above Benchmark 15 = 36%
DIBELS Reading	
Grade 5	Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below Benchmark 2 = 5% Below Benchmark 3 =
	7% At Benchmark 37 = 88% Above Benchmark NA Reading Fluency (ORF) Well

	Below Benchmark 21 = 50% Below Benchmark 1 = 2% At Benchmark 5 = 12%
	Above Benchmark 15 = 36% Reading Comprehension (MAZE) Well Below Benchmark 12 = 29%
	Below Benchmark 3 = 7% At Benchmark 15 = 35% Above Benchmark
	12 = 29%
	DIBELS Reading - 2024 End of Year - Grade 4 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 9 = 32% Below
	Benchmark 3 = 11% At Benchmark 9 = 32% Above Benchmark 7 = 25%
2023-2024	Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below Benchmark 3 = 11% Below Benchmark 0 =
	0% At Benchmark 25 = 89% Above Benchmark NA Reading Fluency (ORF) Well
DIBELS Reading	Below Benchmark 9 = 33% Below Benchmark 4 = 14% At Benchmark 9 = 32%
Grade 4	Above Benchmark 6 = 21% Reading Comprehension (MAZE) Well Below Benchmark 8 = 29%
	Below Benchmark 4 = 14% At Benchmark 10 = 36% Above Benchmark
	6 = 21%
	DIBELS Reading - 2024- End of Year - Grade K Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 4 = 12%
	Below Benchmark 4 = 12% At Benchmark 13 = 40% Above Benchmark 12 =
	36% Letter Names (LNF) Well Below Benchmark 8 = 24% Below Benchmark 8 = 24% At
	Benchmark 17 = 52% Above Benchmark NA Phonemic Awareness (PSF) Well Below
2023-2024	Benchmark 8 = 24% Below Benchmark 2 = 6% At Benchmark 5 = 15% Above
DIBELS Reading	Benchmark 18 = 55% Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS) Well Below Benchmark 4 = 12% Below Benchmark
Grade K	2 = 6% At Benchmark 17 = 52% Above Benchmark 10 = 30% Decoding (NWF-
	WRC) Well Below Benchmark 2 = 6% Below Benchmark 2 = 6% At Benchmark 12 =
	36% Above Benchmark 17 = 52% Word Reading (WRF) Well Below Benchmark 5 = 15%
	Below Benchmark 7 = 21% At Benchmark 15 = 46% Above Benchmark 6 =
	18%
	DIBELS Reading - 2024 End of Year - Grade 3 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 5 = 19% Below
	Benchmark 4 = 15% At Benchmark 8 = 30% Above Benchmark 10 = 36%
	Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS) Well Below Benchmark 6 = 22% Below Benchmark 3 = 11%
	At Benchmark 11 = 41% Above Benchmark 7 = 26% Decoding (NWF-WRC)
2023-2024	Well Below Benchmark 6 = 22% Below Benchmark 2 = 7% At Benchmark 13 =
DIBELS Reading	49% Above Benchmark 6 = 22% Word Reading (WRF) Well Below Benchmark 8 = 30%
Grade 3	49% Above Benchmark 6 = 22% Word Reading (WRF) Well Below Benchmark 8 = 30% Below Benchmark 2 = 7% At Benchmark 14 = 52% Above Benchmark
	3 = 11% Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below Benchmark 2 = 7% Below Benchmark 2 =
	7% At Benchmark 23 = 86% Above Benchmark NA Reading Fluency (ORF) Well
	Below Benchmark 5 = 19% Below Benchmark 9 = 32% At Benchmark 8 = 30%
	Above Benchmark 5 = 19% Reading Comprehension (MAZE) Well Below Benchmark 5 = 19%

	Below Benchmark	5 = 19%	At Benchmark	12 = 43%	Above Benchmark
	5 = 19%				
2023-2024 CDT ELA Grades 3-5	2023-2024 ELA CDT Grades 3- of 27 (37.04%) Grade 4 BOY- (19.05%) MOY- 12 of 41 (29.27	6 of 29 (20.69%)	MOY- 12 of 30 (40.00%)	,	,

English Language Arts Summary

Strengths

DIBELS Reading- 2023-2024 End of Year - Grade 1 Composite Score = 72% At or Above Benchmark

DIBELS Reading- 2023-2024 End of Year - Grade K Composite Score = 76% At or Above Benchmark

Challenges

The 2023-2024 ELA CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 3= 37.04% (10 of 27 students), Grade 4= 27.59% (8 of 29 students), and Grade 5= 38.10% (16 of 42 students)

The 2023-2024 DIBELS Reading percentage of students scoring At Benchmark or Above are as follows: Grade 5 Composite Score = 48%, Grade 4 Composite Score = 57%, Grade 3 Composite Score = 66%, Grade 2 Composite Score = 54%.

Mathematics

Data	Comments/Notable Observations
	2023-2024 EOY mCLASS Math Grade K Students Tested = 33 Overall Intensive 1 = 3% Strategic 14
2023-2024	= 42% Benchmark 18 = 55% Num. Identification Intensive 8 = 24% Strategic 16 = 49%
mCLASS Math	Benchmark 9 = 27% Qty. Discrimination Intensive 1 = 3% Strategic 6 = 18% Benchmark
Grade K	26 = 79% Counting Intensive 1 = 3% Strategic 20 = 61% Benchmark 12 = 36% Missing Number
	Intensive 2 = 6% Strategic 15 = 45% Benchmark 16 = 49%
	2023-2024 EOY mCLASS Math Grade 3 Students Tested = 27 Overall Intensive 3 = 11% Strategic 10
2022 2024	= 37% Benchmark 14 = 52% Number Facts Intensive 3 = 11% Strategic 2 = 7% Benchmark
2023-2024 mCLASS Math	22 = 82% Qty. Discrimination Intensive 4 = 15% Strategic 19 = 70% Benchmark 4 = 15%
	Missing Number Intensive 14 = 31% Strategic 13 = 29% Benchmark 18 = 40% Computation
Grade 3	Intensive 23 = 51% Strategic 16 = 36% Benchmark 6 = 13% Concepts Intensive 1
	= 2% Strategic 9 = 20% Benchmark 35 = 78%
2022 2024	2023-2024 EOY mCLASS Math Grade 1 Students Tested = 25 Overall Intensive 4 = 16% Strategic
2023-2024	14 = 56% Benchmark 7 = 28% Num. Identification Intensive 4 = 16%
mCLASS Math	Strategic 14 = 56% Benchmark 7 = 28% Number Facts Intensive 3 = 12%
Grade 1	Strategic 12 = 48% Benchmark 10 = 25% Qty. Discrimination Intensive 3 = 12%

	Strategic	6 =	24% Ben	chmark	16 =	64% Countin	g Intensive	1 =	4%	
	Strategic	23 = 92%	Benchmarl	1 =	4%	Missing Number	er Intens	ive	8 =	32%
	Strategic	9 =	36% Ben	chmark	8 =	32% Next Nu	ımber Intens	ive	5 =	20%
	Strategic	18 =	72% Ben	chmark	2 =	8%				
	2023-2024 EOY m	CLASS Math G	ade 2 Studen	ts Tested	= 45	Overall Intens	sive 5 =	11%	Strategic	20
2023-2024	= 45% Benchmai	k 20 = 44% Nu	ımber Facts	Intens	sive	6 = 13%	Strategic	18 =	= 40% Ben	chmark
mCLASS Math	21 = 47% Qty. Dis	crimination	Intensive	2 =	= 4%	Strategic	24 = 54%	Bench	mark 19 =	42%
Grade 2	Missing Number	Intensive	14 = 319	% Strate	egic	13 = 29%	Benchmark	18 = 40	% Comput	ation
Grade 2	Intensive	23 = 51%	Strategic	16	= 36%	Benchmark	6 = 13% Cond	cepts	Intensive	1
	= 2% Strategic	9 = 20%	Benchmarl	35 = 78	3%					
2023-2024 CDT	2023-2024 Math CDT Grades 3-5 Proficient or Advanced: Grade 3 BOY- 0 of 27 (0.00%) MOY- 3 of 27 (11.11%) EOY- 5									
Math Grades 3-5	of 27 (18.52%) Grade 4 BOY- 1 of 28 (3.57%) MOY- 2 of 30 (6.67%) EOY- 3 of 28 (10.71%) Grade 5 BOY- 3 of 42 (
irialii Giaues 3-3	7.14%) MOY- 0 of	42 (0.00%) EO	Y- 9 of 42 (21	.43%)						

Mathematics Summary

Strengths

2022-2023 mCLASS Math Grade K- 2023 End of Year Overall Score = 58% At Benchmark

Challenges

The 2023-2024 Math CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 3= 18.52% (5 of 27 students), Grade 4= 10.71% (3 of 28 students), and Grade 5= 21.43% (9 of 42 students).

The 2023-2024 mClass math percentage of students scoring At Benchmark or Above are as follows: Grade 1= 28%, Grade 2= 44%, and Grade 3= 52%.

Science, Technology, and Engineering Education

Data	Comments/Notable Observations
2023-2024 CDT Science	2023-2024 CDT Science Grade 4 Proficient or Advanced: Grade 4 BOY- 10 of 28 (35.71%) MOY- 15 of 30
Grade 4	(50.00%) EOY- 14 of 29 (48.28%)

Science, Technology, and Engineering Education Summary

Strengths

The 2023-2024 Science CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 4= 48.28% (14 of 29 students).

Challenges

The 2023-2024 Science CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 4= 48.28% (14 of 29 students).

Related Academics

Career Readiness

Data	Comments/Notable Observations
n/a	
2022 - 2023 PA Future Ready	97.2% of students exceeded the Career Standards Benchmark which is above the statewide average of
Index	89.6%.

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

True Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs Omit

Arts and Humanities

True Arts and Humanities Omit

Environment and Ecology

True Environment and Ecology Omit

Family and Consumer Sciences

True Family and Consumer Sciences Omit

Health, Safety, and Physical Education

True Health, Safety, and Physical Education Omit

Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History)

True Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History) Omit

Summary

Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

97.2% of students exceeded the Career Standards Benchmark which is above the statewide average of 89.6%.

N/A

Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

N/A	
N/A	

Equity Considerations

English Learners

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Students with Disabilities

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Students Considered Economically Disadvantaged

False This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Data	Comments/Notable Observations
	2022-2023 ELA PSSA Achievement: Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA State
2022-2023 ELA PSSA -	Assessment Participation Rate of 100%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 40.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	scored proficient or advanced. ELA Growth: Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup
subgroup	earned an Academic Growth Score of 50%. ELA Advanced on the ELA PSSA: 1.7% of students in the
	Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.
	2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA
	State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup:
2022-2023 Math PSSA -	25.4% scored proficient or advanced. 2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the Economically
Economically Disadvantaged	Disadvantaged subgroup: 25.4% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the
subgroup	Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 74%. Math Advanced on the
	Math PSSA: 0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math
	PSSA.

True This student group is not a focus in this plan.

Summary

Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a Math PSSA Participation Rate of 100%.			
Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had an ELA PSSA Participation Rate of 100%.			

Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

2022-2023 ELA PSSA Achievement: 40.7% of the students in the economically disadvantaged subgroup scored proficient or advanced.
2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: 25.4% of the students in the economically disadvantaged subgroup scored proficient or advanced.

Conditions for Leadership, Teaching, and Learning

Focus on Continuous improvement of Instruction

Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards	Operational
Use systematic, collaborative planning processes to ensure instruction is coordinated, aligned, and evidence-based	Operational
Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and summative) to monitor student learning and adjust programs and instructional practices	Operational
Identify and address individual student learning needs	Operational
Provide frequent, timely, and systematic feedback and support on instructional practices	Operational

Empower Leadership

Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members	Operational
Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning	Operational
Build leadership capacity and empower staff in the development and successful implementation of initiatives that better serve students, staff, and the school	Operational
Organize programmatic, human, and fiscal capital resources aligned with the school improvement plan and needs of the school community	Operational
Continuously monitor implementation of the school improvement plan and adjust as needed	Operational

Provide Student-Centered Support Systems

Promote and sustain a positive school environment where all members feel welcomed, supported, and safe in school: socially, emotionally, intellectually and physically	Exemplary
Implement an evidence-based system of schoolwide positive behavior interventions and supports	Operational
Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior	Operational
Implement evidence-based strategies to engage families to support learning	Operational
Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the school	Emerging

Foster Quality Professional Learning

Identify professional learning needs through analysis of a variety of data	Emerging
Use multiple professional learning designs to support the learning needs of staff	Emerging
Monitor and evaluate the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning	Emerging

Summary

Strengths

Which Essential Practices are currently Operational or Exemplary and could be leveraged in your efforts to improve upon your most pressing challenges?

Promote and sustain a positive school environment where all members feel welcomed, supported, and safe in school.

Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards.

Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and summative) to monitor student learning and adjust programs and instructional practices.

Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning *

Challenges

Thinking about all the most pressing challenges identified in the previous sections, which of the Essential Practices that are currently Not Yet Evident or Emerging, if improved, would greatly impact your progress in achieving your mission, vision and Future Ready PA Index interim targets in State Assessment Measures, On-Track Measures, or College and Career Measures?

Build leadership capacity and empower staff in the development and successful implementation of initiatives that better serve students, staff, and the school.

Identify and address individual student learning needs.

Continuously monitor implementation of the school improvement plan and adjust as needed.

Monitor and evaluate the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning

Summary of Strengths and Challenges from the Needs Assessment

Strengths

Examine the Summary of Strengths. Identify the strengths that are most positively contributing to achievement of your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified strength(s).

Strongth	Check for Consideration
Strength	in Plan
2022 -2023 Science PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate	False
of 95.8%.	rated
2022 - 2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of	True
96.2%.	
2022 -2023 Math PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of	True
97.7%.	
DIBELS Reading- 2023-2024 End of Year - Grade 1 Composite Score = 72% At or Above Benchmark	False
97.2% of students exceeded the Career Standards Benchmark which is above the statewide average of 89.6%.	False
Promote and sustain a positive school environment where all members feel welcomed, supported, and safe in	False
school.	1 4130
Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards.	False
Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and summative) to monitor student learning	False
and adjust programs and instructional practices.	1 8136
Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning *	False
2022-2023 Science Growth Scores: Science subject area exceeded the statewide standard growth score.	False
DIBELS Reading- 2023-2024 End of Year - Grade K Composite Score = 76% At or Above Benchmark	False
2022-2023 mCLASS Math Grade K- 2023 End of Year Overall Score = 58% At Benchmark	False
The 2023-2024 Science CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 4=	False
48.28% (14 of 29 students).	Taise
Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a Math PSSA Participation Rate of 100%.	False
Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had an ELA PSSA Participation Rate of 100%.	False
N/A	False

Challenges

Examine the Summary of Challenges. Identify the challenges which are most pressing at this time for your School and if improved would have the most pronounced impact in achieving your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified challenge(s).

Strength	Check for Consideration in Plan
2022-2023 PSSA ELA All Student Group scored 50.4% Proficient or Advanced. This does not meet the Statewide Average of 54.5%	True
2022-2023 PSSA Math All Student Group scored 28.9% Proficient or Advanced. This falls well below the Statewide Average of 38.3%	True
The 2023-2024 ELA CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 3= 37.04% (10 of 27 students), Grade 4= 27.59% (8 of 29 students), and Grade 5= 38.10% (16 of 42 students)	False
The 2023-2024 Math CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 3= 18.52% (5 of 27 students), Grade 4= 10.71% (3 of 28 students), and Grade 5= 21.43% (9 of 42 students).	False
The 2023-2024 DIBELS Reading percentage of students scoring At Benchmark or Above are as follows: Grade 5 Composite Score = 48%, Grade 4 Composite Score = 57%, Grade 3 Composite Score = 66%, Grade 2 Composite Score = 54%.	False
N/A	False
N/A	False
Build leadership capacity and empower staff in the development and successful implementation of initiatives that better serve students, staff, and the school.	False
Identify and address individual student learning needs.	False
Continuously monitor implementation of the school improvement plan and adjust as needed.	False
Monitor and evaluate the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning	False
The 2023-2024 mClass math percentage of students scoring At Benchmark or Above are as follows: Grade 1= 28%, Grade 2= 44%, and Grade 3= 52%.	False
The 2023-2024 Science CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 4= 48.28% (14 of 29 students).	False
2022-2023 ELA PSSA Achievement: 40.7% of the students in the economically disadvantaged subgroup scored proficient or advanced.	False
2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: 25.4% of the students in the economically disadvantaged subgroup scored proficient or advanced.	False

Most Notable Observations/Patterns

In the space provided, record any of the comments and notable observations made as your team worked through the needs assessment that stand out as important to the challenge(s) you checked for consideration in your comprehensive plan.

The All Student Group ELA and math PSSA scores are areas of weakness that need addressed.

Analyzing (Strengths and Challenges)

Analyzing Challenges

Analyzing Challenges	Discussion Points	Check for Priority
2022-2023 PSSA ELA All Student Group scored 50.4% Proficient or Advanced. This does not meet the Statewide Average of 54.5%	2022-2023 ELA PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 41.4% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 40.7% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 20% scored proficient or advanced. ELA Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 50% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth (70.0%). The Statewide Average Growth Score was 15%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 50%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 50%. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. ELA Advanced on the ELA PSSA: 3.8% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the ELA PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 15.0%. 3% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 1.7% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.	True
2022-2023 PSSA Math All Student Group scored 28.9% Proficient or Advanced. This falls well below the Statewide Average of 38.3%	2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 31.3% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 25.4% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 74% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth (70%). The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 73%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 74%. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 2.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 14.0%. 2.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 0.0%	True

of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the	
Math PSSA.	

Analyzing Strengths

Analyzing Strengths	Discussion Points
	Participation: 2022 - 2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State
2022 - 2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The	Assessment Participation Rate of 99%. Students in the White subgroup had a PA State
All Student Group had a PA State	Assessment Participation Rate of 99%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup
Assessment Participation Rate of 96.2%.	had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%. Students in the Students with
	Disabilities subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.2%.
	2022 - 2023 Math PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment
2022 -2023 Math PSSA Participation: The	Participation Rate of 99%. Students in the White subgroup had a PA State Assessment
All Student Group had a PA State	Participation Rate of 99%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA
Assessment Participation Rate of 97.7%.	State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%. Students in the Students with Disabilities
	subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.2%.

Priority Challenges

Analyzing Priority Challenges	Priority Statements
	PSSA ELA scores will increase by following the standards aligned curriculum with fidelity. PSSA ELA scores will
	increase by using quality resources and providing teachers with the training to best use those resources. PSSA ELA
	scores will increase by providing targeted instruction through MTSS.
	PSSA math scores will increase by following the standards aligned curriculum with fidelity. PSSA math scores will
	increase by using quality resources and providing teachers with the training to best use those resources. PSSA math
	scores will improve by increasing parent involvement with student math mastery.

Goal Setting

Priority: PSSA ELA scores will increase by following the standards aligned curriculum with fidelity. PSSA ELA scores will increase by using quality resources and providing teachers with the training to best use those resources. PSSA ELA scores will increase by providing targeted instruction through MTSS.

Outcome Category			
English Language Ar	ts		
Measurable Goal St	atement (Smart Goal)		
Using the PSSA, Gra	des 3-5 ELA proficiency scores will improve f	rom 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 8%.	
Measurable Goal N	ickname (35 Character Max)		
PSSA ELA Score Imp	rovement		
Target 1st Quarter	Target 2nd Quarter	Target 3rd Quarter	Target 4th Quarter
Baseline data will be gathered at the beginning of the school year.	Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 ELA proficiency scores will improve from BOY 2024-2025 CDT to MOY 2024-2025 CDT by 3%.	Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 ELA proficiency scores will improve from MOY 2024-2025 CDT to EOY 2024-2025 CDT by 3%.	Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 ELA proficiency scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 8%.

Priority: PSSA math scores will increase by following the standards aligned curriculum with fidelity. PSSA math scores will increase by using quality resources and providing teachers with the training to best use those resources. PSSA math scores will improve by increasing parent involvement with student math mastery.

Outcome Category			·
Mathematics			
Measurable Goal S	tatement (Smart Goal)		
Using the PSSA, Gra	des 3-5 Math scores will improve from 2023-	-2024 to 2024-2025 by 8%.	
Measurable Goal N	ickname (35 Character Max)		
PSSA Math Score Im	provement		
Target 1st Quarter	Target 2nd Quarter	Target 3rd Quarter	Target 4th Quarter
Baseline data will be gathered at the beginning of the school year.	Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from BOY 2024-2025 CDT to MOY 2024-2025 CDT by 3%.	Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from MOY 2024-2025 CDT to EOY 2024-2025 CDT by 3%.	Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 8%.

Outcome Category

Parent and family engagement

Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal)

Due to the parent and family engagement activities initiated at the building level in supporting mathematics practice in the home and using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 math scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 8%.

Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max)

Math Parent and Family Engagement

That if a fort and if a finity Engagement			
Target 1st Quarter	Target 2nd Quarter	Target 3rd Quarter	Target 4th Quarter
Baseline data will be gathered at the beginning of the school year.	Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from BOY 2024-2025 CDT to MOY 2024-2025 CDT by 3%.	Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from MOY 2024-2025 CDT to EOY 2024-2025 CDT by 3%.	Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 8%.

Action Plan

Measurable Goals

PSSA ELA Score Improvement	PSSA Math Score Improvement
Math Parent and Family Engagement	

Action Plan For: Standards Aligned ELA Instruction

Measurable Goals:

• Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 ELA proficiency scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 8%.

Action Step		Anticipated	
		Start/Completion Date	
SAES will follow the sequenced EL	A curriculum map and common assessment schedule using the district	2024-08-	2025-06-
adopted Core Knowledge Language	•	27	06
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
	*WCSD Planned Instruction & Curriculum Maps *CKLA Literacy Suite		
Kylie Harris/Principal	Resources *Literacy Supplies *Academic Coaching Support *Time for	No	
	Collaboration with Colleagues		
		Anticipate	d
Action Step		Start/Completion	
		Date	
SAES to a chara will participate in St	rusturad Litaraay training	2025-01-	2025-02-
SAES teachers will participate in St	ructured Literacy training.	17	17
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Kylie Harris/Principal Lynn			
Shultz/Director of Curriculum,	Structured Literacy Online Course Additional Literacy Trainings	Yes	
Instruction, & Assessment			
		Anticipated	
Action Step		Start/Completion	
		Date	
SAES teachers will benefit from on	site coaching support from CKLA experts who will help reinforce effective use	2024-10-	2025-02-
and appropriate pacing of the Core	Knowledge Language Arts (CKLA) literacy suite.	21	28

Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Kylie Harris/Principal Lynn			
Shultz/Director of Curriculum,	*CKLA Onsite Coaching *CKLA Literacy Suite Resources	Yes	
Instruction, & Assessment			
		Anticipate	d
Action Step		Start/Com	pletion
		Date	
SAES teachers will benefit from ongoi	ng support from the WCSD academic coaching team who will help	2024-08-	2025-06-
reinforce best practices.		22	06
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum,			
Instruction, & Assessment Medina	Academic Coaching Team	Yes	
Reynolds- Academic Coach			
		Anticipate	d
Action Step		Start/Completion	
		Date	
SAES will utilize DIBELS 8th Edition to	administer reading benchmark assessments three times a year to identify		
areas of strengths and weaknesses. U	Ising benchmark data, SAES will create groups to provide targeted	2024-09-	2025-05-
instructional support using intervention tools/strategies such as mClass Reading, Boost Reading, ECRI		04	30
Intensification, and/or additional liter	acy materials/strategies.		
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
	*DIBELS 8th Edition *mCLASS *ECRI Intensification *Boost Reading		
Kylie Harris/Principal	*Additional Literacy Materials/Strategies *Academic Coaching Support	Yes	
	*Reading Specialists *Paraprofessionals *Data Meetings		

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)	
1.) Curriculum Pacing Checks 2.) Lesson Plan Checks 3.) Professional Development Attendance and Evaluations 4.) Data Meeting Schedules/Intervention Plans	1.) Curriculum Pacing Checks will be completed by Lynn Shultz, Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment to determine if teachers are following the district's curriculum pacing guide, to determine the feasibility of the set pacing schedule, and to provide support as needed. 2.) Lesson Plan Checks and Observations will be completed by Principal, Kylie Harris to ensure teachers are following the curriculum map and using the CKLA Literacy Suite and district literacy initiatives with fidelity. 3.) Professional Development Attendance and Evaluations	

will be reviewed by the Lynn Shultz, the principals, and academic coaches. 4.)
Data meeting schedules and intervention plans will be submitted by teachers to
Principal, Kylie Harris.

Action Plan For: Standards Aligned Math Instruction

Measurable Goals:

- Due to the parent and family engagement activities initiated at the building level in supporting mathematics practice in the home and using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 math scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 8%.
- Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 Math scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 8%.

Action Step		Anticipated Start/Completion Date	
SAES will follow the district's assessment schedule.	s sequenced mathematics planned instructions, curriculum maps, and common	2024-08- 27	2025-06- 06
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Kylie Harris/Principal	*Planned Instruction/Curriculum Maps *SAVVAS Textbook & Resources *Academic Coaching Support *Time to Collaborate with Colleagues	No	
Action Step		Anticipate Start/Com Date	
	block to include small groups at least 3 times a week. Optional training will be designing and implementing small group instruction.	2024-09- 09	2025-06- 06
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Kylie Harris/Principal	*Math Schedules *Small Group Design & Strategies *Math Resources: SAVVAS & SAVVY, *mCLASS Math (K-3), *CDT Data *ST Math	No	
Action Step		Anticipate Start/Com Date	

SAES will utilize mCLASS Math (K-3) and CDTs (3-5) as benchmarks to be administered three times a year to identify areas of strengths and weaknesses. Using benchmark data, SAES will create groups to provide targeted		2024-09-	2025-05-
instructional support during the math block at least 3 times a week.		05	30
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Kylie Harris/Principal	*mCLASS Math *CDTs *WCSD Assessment Calendar	No	
Action Step		Anticipated Start/Completion Date	
Math teachers will learn and review textbook and resources.	ew math best instructional practices and effective use of the SAVVAS math	2024-09- 09	2025-05- 30
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Kylie Harris/Principal Academic Coaches	*SAVVAS Textbooks and Resources *Ongoing mathematics instructional support from academic coaches *Online Training Videos - SAVVAS and SAVVY	Yes	
Action Step		Anticipated Start/Completion Date	
grade level that parents can active Provide training and materials to	ify, based on teacher input and mathematics data, a set of math skills in each rely reinforce at home to increase their child's ability to master those skills. parents and families to reinforce select math skills. Provide ST Math training to w parents can support student use of ST Math at home.	2024-09-	2025-05- 30
Lead Person/Position	Material/Resources/Supports Needed	PD Step?	
Kylie Harris/Principal Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment	*SAES's Math Parent and Family Engagement Plan *Administrative and Academic Coaching Support *ST Math Training for Parents *Math Training and Materials for Parents/Families *Social Media/School Web Page *Title I PFE Engagement Supplies	No	

Anticipated Output	Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)
1.) Curriculum Pacing Checks 2.) Lesson Plan Checks 3.)	1.) Curriculum Pacing Checks will be completed by Lynn Shultz,
Professional Development Attendance and Evaluations 4.)	Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment to determine if
Posts of Math Parent & Family Engagement Activities using the	teachers are following the district's developed curriculum pacing guide,
Title I PFE purchased math supplies for families to support	to determine the feasibility of the set pacing schedule, and to provide
math instruction in the home 5.) Math Parent and Family	support as needed. 2.) Lesson Plan Checks and Observations will be
Engagement Surveys	completed by Principal, Kylie Harris to ensure teachers are following

the curriculum map and using the district approved math resources
with fidelity. 3.) Professional Development Attendance and Evaluations
will be reviewed by Lynn Shultz, the principals, and academic coaches.
4.) Posts of Math Parent & Family Engagement Activities will be made
available on the school's Facebook and web page by Principal, Kylie
Harris with support from Central Office. 5.) Math Parent and Family
Engagement Surveys will be posted by Principal, Kylie Harris with
support from Lynn Shultz, and academic coaches.

Expenditure Tables

School Improvement Set Aside Grant

True School does not receive School Improvement Set Aside Grant.

Schoolwide Title 1 Funding Allocation

False School does not receive Schoolwide Title 1 funding.

eGgrant Budget Category (Schoolwide Funding)	Action Plan(s)	Expenditure Description	Amount
Instruction	Standards Aligned ELA Instruction	Reading Specialists and Paraprofessional Salaries and Benefits	211556
Instruction	 Standards Aligned ELA Instruction Standards Aligned Math Instruction 	Classroom Supplies	635
Total Expenditures			

Professional Development

Professional Development Action Steps

Evidence-based Strategy	Action Steps
Standards Aligned ELA Instruction	SAES teachers will participate in Structured Literacy training.
Standards Aligned	SAES teachers will benefit from onsite coaching support from CKLA experts who will help reinforce effective use and
ELA Instruction	appropriate pacing of the Core Knowledge Language Arts (CKLA) literacy suite.
	SAES will utilize DIBELS 8th Edition to administer reading benchmark assessments three times a year to identify
Standards Aligned	areas of strengths and weaknesses. Using benchmark data, SAES will create groups to provide targeted instructional
ELA Instruction	support using intervention tools/strategies such as mClass Reading, Boost Reading, ECRI Intensification, and/or
	additional literacy materials/strategies.
Standards Aligned	SAES teachers will benefit from ongoing support from the WCSD academic coaching team who will help reinforce
ELA Instruction	best practices.
Standards Aligned	Math teachers will learn and review math best instructional practices and effective use of the SAVVAS math textbook
Math Instruction	and resources.

Structured Literacy Training

Action Step • SAES teachers will participate in Structured Literacy training. Audience K-5 ELA Teachers Topics to be Included Structured Literacy Evidence of Learning PD Evaluation Form Anticipated Anticipated

Lead Person/Position	Anticipated Start	Anticipated Completion
Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment Medina Reynolds/Academic Coach	2025-01-17	2025-02-17

Learning Format

Type of Activities F	Frequency
----------------------	-----------

Inservice day Twice during the 2024-2025 school year

Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan

- 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources
- 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students

This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings

Structured Literacy

CKLA Onsite Coaching

Action Step

• SAES teachers will benefit from onsite coaching support from CKLA experts who will help reinforce effective use and appropriate pacing of the Core Knowledge Language Arts (CKLA) literacy suite.

Audience

K-5 ELA Teachers

Topics to be Included

CKLA lessons, pacing, best practices, support for students

Evidence of Learning

Evaluation Forms

Lead Person/Position	Anticipated Start	Anticipated Completion
Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment Medina	2024 10 21	2025 04 20
Reynolds/Academic Coach	2024-10-21	2025-04-30

Learning Format

Type of Activities	Frequency
Coaching (peer-to-peer; school leader-to-teacher; other coaching models)	At least one time during the 2024-2025 school year
Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan	

Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Pl

- 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students
- 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources

This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings

Academic Coaching

Action Step

• SAES teachers will benefit from ongoing support from the WCSD academic coaching team who will help reinforce best practices.

Audience

K-5 ELA Teachers		
Topics to be Included		
Educational Best Practices		
Evidence of Learning		
Evaluation Forms		
Lead Person/Position	Anticipated	Anticipated
	Start	Completion
Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment Medina Reynolds/Academic	2024-09-09	2025-05-30
Coach	2024-09-09	2020-00-30

Learning Format

Type of Activities	Frequency
Coaching (peer-to-peer; school leader-to-teacher; other coaching models)	Ongoing
Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan	

Math Instructional Training

Action Step

• Math teachers will learn and review math best instructional practices and effective use of the SAVVAS math textbook and resources.

Audience

K-5 Math Teachers

Topics to be Included

SAVVAS textbooks and resources, ongoing mathematics instructional support from academic coaches, online training videos - SAVVAS and SAVVY

Evidence of Learning

Evaluation Forms

Lead Person/Position	Anticipated Start	Anticipated Completion
Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment /Academic Coach	2024-09-09	2025-05-30

Learning Format

Type of Activities	Frequency
Coaching (peer-to-peer; school leader-to-teacher; other coaching models)	Ongoing

Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan	
This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings	

Approvals & Signatures

Uploaded Files	

Chief School Administrator	Date
Building Principal Signature	Date
School Improvement Facilitator Signature	Date