# Youngsville El Sch

Schoolwide Title 1 School Plan | 2024 - 2025

# **Profile and Plan Essentials**

| School                                  |       | AUN/Branch                           |  |  |
|---|-------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Youngsville Elementary School 105628302 |       | 105628302                            |  |  |
| Address 1                               |       |                                      |  |  |
| 232 Second Avenue                       |       |                                      |  |  |
| Address 2                               |       |                                      |  |  |
|   |       |                                      |  |  |
| City                                    | State | Zip Code                             |  |  |
| Youngsville                             | PA    | 16371                                |  |  |
| <b>Chief School Administrator</b>       | •     | Chief School Administrator Email     |  |  |
| Gary Weber                              |       | weberg@wcsdpa.org                    |  |  |
| Principal Name                          |       |                                      |  |  |
| Amy Stimmell                            |       |                                      |  |  |
| Principal Email                         |       |                                      |  |  |
| stimmella@wcsdpa.org                    |       |                                      |  |  |
| Principal Phone Number                  |       | Principal Extension                  |  |  |
| (814) 563-7207                          |       | 5508                                 |  |  |
| School Improvement Facilitator Name     |       | School Improvement Facilitator Email |  |  |
|   |       |                                      |  |  |

# **Steering Committee**

| Name             | Position/Role                | Building/Group/Organization   | Email                      |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Amy Stimmell     | Principal                    | Youngsville Elementary School | Stimmella@wcsdpa.org       |
| Christine Rooney | Reading Specialist           | Youngsville Elementary School | Rooneyc@wcsdpa.org         |
| Melissa Procter  | Reading Specialist           | Youngsville Elementary School | procterm@wcsdpa.org        |
| Kim Yourchisin   | Special Education Supervisor | Youngsville Elementary School | yourchisink@wcsdpa.org     |
| Laura Bierbower  | School Counselor             | Youngsville Elementary School | Bierbowerl@wcsdpa.org      |
| Laura Stanton    | Paraprofessional             | Youngsville Elementary School | stantonl@wcsdpa.org        |
| Kelly Sullivan   | Parent                       | Youngsville Elementary School | ksullivan0516@gmail.com    |
| Lynn Shultz      | District Level Leaders       | Warren County School District | shultzl@wcsdpa.org         |
| Miranda Walters  | Community Member             | Community Member              | miranda_snarburg@yahoo.com |
| Medina Reynolds  | Other                        | Warren County School District | reynoldsm@wcsdpa.org       |
|                  |                              |                               |                            |
|                  |                              |                               |                            |
|                  |                              |                               |                            |
|                  |                              |                               |                            |
|                  |                              |                               |                            |
|                  |                              |                               |                            |
|                  |                              |                               |                            |
|                  |                              |                               |                            |

# **Vision for Learning**

## **Vision for Learning**

The Youngsville Elementary School team will work collaboratively with the Warren County School District teachers and academic coaching team, parents, and community to address gaps in education, specifically math and reading, and ensure all students are able to learn and grow.

# **Future Ready PA Index**

Select the grade levels served by your school. Select all that apply.

| True K  | True 1  | True 2  | True 3   | True 4   | True 5   | False 6 |
|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| False 7 | False 8 | False 9 | False 10 | False 11 | False 12 |         |

### **Review of the School Level Performance**

# **Strengths**

| Indicator                                  | Comments/Notable Observations   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | 2022 -2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment        |  |  |
| 2022 -2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The All | Participation Rate of 97.5%. Students in the White subgroup had a PA State Assessment     |  |  |
| Student Group had a PA ELA State           | Participation Rate of 97.4%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA |  |  |
| Assessment Participation Rate of 97.5%.    | State Assessment Participation Rate of 97.7%. Students in the Students with Disabilities  |  |  |
|  | subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 93.2%.                           |  |  |
|  | 2022 -2023 Math PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment       |  |  |
| 2022 -2023 Math PSSA Participation: The    | Participation Rate of 96.9% Students in the White subgroup had a PA State Assessment      |  |  |
| All Student Group had a PA Math State      | Participation Rate of 96.7%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA |  |  |
| Assessment Participation Rate of 96.9%.    | State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.6%. Students in the Students with Disabilities  |  |  |
|  | subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 93.2%.                           |  |  |
| 2022 2022 Science DSSA Participation       | 2022 -2023 Science PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment    |  |  |
| 2022 -2023 Science PSSA Participation:     | Participation Rate of 95.1%. Students in the White subgroup had a PA State Assessment     |  |  |
| The All Student Group had a PA Science     | Participation Rate of 94.7%. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA |  |  |
| State Assessment Participation Rate of     | State Assessment Participation Rate of 93.1%. Students in the Students with Disabilities  |  |  |
| 95.1%.                                     | subgroup: IS.   |  |  |

# Challenges

| Indicator  | Comments/Notable Observations   |
|--|---|
| 2022-2023 PSSA ELA All                             | 2022-2023 ELA PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 37.4% scored proficient or            |
| Student Group scored 37.8% Proficient or Advanced. | advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 31.8% scored proficient or advanced.   |
|  | Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 17.1% scored proficient or advanced. ELA Growth: |
|  | Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 57.0% and did not meet the       |

| White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 60.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 71.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 70.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. ELA Advanced on the ELA PSSA: 2.6% of the All Students Group scored advanced on the ELA PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 15.0%. 2.7% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students of proficient or advanced. Students in the Students of proficient or advanced. Students in the Students of proficient or advanced on the Math PSSA students in the Students of Students of Students of the Students of Stude |                            | standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 75.4%. Students in the     |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Growth Score of 71.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 70.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. ELA Advanced on the ELA PSSA. 2.6% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the ELA PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 15.0%. 2.7% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 29.5% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Score or 678.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students or 19.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did neet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Share and Academic Growth Score of 40.0% and subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Students in the Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabi |                            | White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 60.0% and did not meet the standard                  |  |  |  |
| Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 70.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. ELA Advanced on the ELA PSSA: 2.6% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the ELA PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 15.0%. 2.7% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students of subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students of 178.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 18. Science Growth |                            | demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic           |  |  |  |
| demonstrating growth. ELA Advanced on the ELA PSSA: 2.6% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the ELA PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 15.0%. 2.7% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 29.5% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students or 12.0% scored proficient or advanced demonstrating growth. Students or 12.0% scored proficient or advanced or 12.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on 14.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 12.6% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2022-2023 Science PSSA |                            | Growth Score of 71.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with    |  |  |  |
| on the ELA PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 15.0%. 2.7% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.  2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 29.5% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the Students with Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. The Statewide Average Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students on the Math PSSA. State on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Students in the Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.2% of students in the Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.2% of students in the Students in the White subgroup scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabili |                            | Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 70.0% and did meet the standard               |  |  |  |
| scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 29.5% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: Science Growth: Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. To.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Science PSSA, which is above t |                            | demonstrating growth. ELA Advanced on the ELA PSSA: 2.6% of the All Student Group scored advanced      |  |  |  |
| scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.  2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 29.5% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students in the Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA. 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 18. Science Growth: Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Science PSSA. 37.9% of the All Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA. 27.8% of students in the Economically D |                            | on the ELA PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 15.0%. 2.7% of students in the White subgroup |  |  |  |
| advanced on the ELA PSSA.  2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 29.5% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and excee |                            | scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup           |  |  |  |
| 2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 29.5% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the All Student Group arned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students or advanced on the Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic G |                            | scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0.0% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored    |  |  |  |
| advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Students for the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 18. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 18. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA, Which is above the statewi |                            | advanced on the ELA PSSA.  |  |  |  |
| Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White subgroup scored 29.7% Proficient or Advanced.  Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Students Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 14.0%. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.  2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.  2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science All Students of the All Students of the All Students in the White subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Students in the Economically  |                            | 2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 29.5% scored proficient or            |  |  |  |
| Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students or Advanced.  Students or Advanced.  Students or Advanced.  Students or Advanced on the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, below the statewide average of 14.0%. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 8. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group arned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Science  |                            | advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced.    |  |  |  |
| demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students or Advanced.  Proficient or Advanced.  Proficient or Advanced.  Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 1S. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group scored advanced on the All Student Group scored 75.9% Proficient or Advanced.  Proficient or Advanced.  Proficient or Advanced.  Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 1S. Science Growth: Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA. 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA.   |                            | Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: |  |  |  |
| subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students or Advanced.  Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 14.0%. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.04% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 18. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. To.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA, Which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA, Which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA, Which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  |                            | Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard   |  |  |  |
| Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA. 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 14.0%. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored   |                            | demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.9%. Students in the White        |  |  |  |
| did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 14.0%. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA; which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored   | 2022-2023 PSSA Math All    | subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth.      |  |  |  |
| an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 14.0%. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.  2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  | Student Group scored 29.7% | Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78.0% and       |  |  |  |
| Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 14.0%. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  | Proficient or Advanced.    | did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup earned |  |  |  |
| below the statewide average of 14.0%. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.  2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA; 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  |                            | an Academic Growth Score of 66.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math             |  |  |  |
| Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.  2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  |                            | Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is    |  |  |  |
| PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.  2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored   |                            | below the statewide average of 14.0%. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the    |  |  |  |
| 2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  |                            | Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math     |  |  |  |
| advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored   |                            | PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.    |  |  |  |
| Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student  2022 -2023 PSSA Science All Student Group scored 75.9% Proficient or Advanced.  Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student  Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the  Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the  Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the  standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science  Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA,  which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored  advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored   |                            | 2022-2023 Science PSSA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or         |  |  |  |
| Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  |                            | advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 74.1% scored proficient or advanced.    |  |  |  |
| 2022 -2023 PSSA Science All Student Group scored 75.9% Proficient or Advanced.  70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored   |                            | Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Growth: Students in the All Student   |  |  |  |
| Student Group scored 75.9% Proficient or Advanced.  Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored   |                            | Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 100% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth           |  |  |  |
| Student Group scored 75.9% Proficient or Advanced.  Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored   | 2022 2023 PSSA Science All | 70.0%. The Statewide Average Growth Score was 74.7%. Students in the White subgroup earned an          |  |  |  |
| Proficient or Advanced.  Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored   |                            | Academic Growth Score of 99.0% and exceeded the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the         |  |  |  |
| standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA, which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  | ·                          | Economically Disadvantaged subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 89.0% and exceeded the          |  |  |  |
| which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  | Proficient of Advanced.    | standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS. Science        |  |  |  |
| advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored  |                            | Advanced on the Science PSSA: 37.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Science PSSA,      |  |  |  |
|  |                            | which is above the statewide average of 26.0%. 37.0% of students in the White subgroup scored          |  |  |  |
| advanced on the Science PSSA Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS   |                            | advanced on the Science PSSA. 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored      |  |  |  |
| davanced on the ocience i ook. Otadents in the otadents with bisabilities subgroup. 10.  |                            | advanced on the Science PSSA. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: IS.                 |  |  |  |

# Review of Grade Level(s) and Individual Student Group(s)

## **Strengths**

| Indicator                  |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| 2022-2023 ELA PSSA         | Comments/Notable Observations  |
| Participation              | 2022 -2023 ELA PSSA Participation: Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA State  |
| ESSA Student Subgroups     | Assessment Participation Rate of 97.7%.  |
| Economically Disadvantaged |  |
| Indicator                  |  |
| 2022-2023 Math PSSA        | Comments/Notable Observations  |
| Participation              | 2022 -2023 Math PSSA Participation: Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA State |
| ESSA Student Subgroups     | Assessment Participation Rate of 96.6%.  |
| Economically Disadvantaged |  |
| Indicator                  |  |
| 2022-2023 Science PSSA     | Comments/Notable Observations  |
| Participation              | 2022 -2023 Science PSSA Participation: Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA    |
| ESSA Student Subgroups     | State Assessment Participation Rate of 93.1%.  |
| Economically Disadvantaged |  |

# Challenges

| Indicator 2022-2023 ELA PSSA Achievement ESSA Student Subgroups Economically Disadvantaged  | Comments/Notable Observations Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 31.8% scored proficient or advanced.                                   |
|---|---|
| Indicator 2022-2023 Math PSSA Achievement ESSA Student Subgroups Economically Disadvantaged | Comments/Notable Observations Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19.0% scored proficient or advanced.                                   |
| Indicator 2022-2023 ELA Growth ESSA Student Subgroups White                                 | Comments/Notable Observations Students in the White subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 60.0% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. |

#### **Summary**

#### **Strengths**

Review the strengths listed above and copy and paste 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

2022-2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 97.5%

2022-2023 Math PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.9%

2022-2023 Science PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 95.1%

#### **Challenges**

Review the challenges listed above and copy and paste 2-5 challenges if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Future Ready PA index targets.

2022-2023 PSSA ELA All Student Group scored 37.8% Proficient or Advanced.

2022-2023 PSSA Math All Student Group scored 29.7% Proficient or Advanced.

# **Local Assessment**

# **English Language Arts**

| Data                                | Comments/Notable Observations   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
|                                     | DIBELS Reading - 2024- End of Year - Grade K. Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 1 = 3%       |
|                                     | Below Benchmark 6 = 16% At Benchmark 14 = 38% Above Benchmark 16 = 43%                          |
|                                     | Letter Names (LNF) Well Below Benchmark 4 = 11% Below Benchmark                                 |
|                                     | 9 = 24% At Benchmark 24 = 65% Above Benchmark NA  |
| 2023-2024 DIBELS                    | Phonemic Awareness (PSF) Well Below Benchmark 6 = 16% Below Benchmark 6 = 16% At                |
|                                     | Benchmark 11 = 30% Above Benchmark 14 = 38% Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS)                             |
| Reading Grade K                     | Well Below Benchmark 1 = 3% Below Benchmark 5 = 14% At Benchmark 13 =                           |
|                                     | 35% Above Benchmark 18 = 48% Decoding (NWF-WRC) Well Below Benchmark 1 =                        |
|                                     | 3% Below Benchmark 0 = 0% At Benchmark 12 = 32% Above Benchmark 24 =                            |
|                                     | 65% Word Reading (WRF) Well Below Benchmark 9 = 24% Below Benchmark 4 =                         |
|                                     | 11% At Benchmark 10 = 27% Above Benchmark 14 = 38%  |
|                                     | DIBELS Reading - 2024 End of Year - Grade 2 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 17 = 34% Below |
|                                     | Benchmark 6 = 12% At Benchmark 14 = 27% Above Benchmark 14 =                                    |
|                                     | 27% Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS) Well Below Benchmark 11 =   |
|                                     | 22% Below Benchmark 11 = 22% At Benchmark 20 = 40% Above Benchmark                              |
|                                     | 8 = 16% Decoding (NWF-WRC) Well Below Benchmark 16 = 30% Below Benchmark 7 =                    |
|                                     | 14% At Benchmark 20 = 40% Above Benchmark 8 = 16% Word Reading (WRF) Well                       |
| 2023-2024 DIBELS                    | Below Benchmark 15 = 29% Below Benchmark 8 = 16% At Benchmark 23 =                              |
| Reading Grade 2                     | 45% Above Benchmark 5 = 10% Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below Benchmark                    |
|                                     | 11 = 22% Below Benchmark 9 = 18% At Benchmark 31 = 60% Above                                    |
|                                     | Benchmark NA Reading Fluency (ORF) Well Below Benchmark 21 = 41%                                |
|                                     | Below Benchmark 5 = 10% At Benchmark 17 = 33% Above Benchmark 8                                 |
|                                     | = 16% Reading Comprehension (MAZE) Well Below Benchmark 22 = 43% Below                          |
|                                     | Benchmark 4 = 8% At Benchmark 16 = 31% Above Benchmark 9 =                                      |
|                                     | 18%   |
| 2023-2024 DIBELS<br>Reading Grade 3 | DIBELS Reading - 2024 End of Year - Grade 3 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 18 = 35% Below |
|                                     | Benchmark 9 = 17% At Benchmark 7 = 13% Above Benchmark 18 =                                     |
|                                     | 35% Letter Sounds (NWF-CLS) Well Below Benchmark 18 = 35%                                       |
|                                     | Below Benchmark 8 = 15% At Benchmark 23 = 44% Above Benchmark 3 =                               |
|                                     | 6% Decoding (NWF-WRC) Well Below Benchmark 18 = 35% Below Benchmark 8 = 15%                     |

|                       | At Benchmark 24 = 46% Above Benchmark 2 = 4% Word Reading (WRF) Well   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                       | Below Benchmark 21 = 40% Below Benchmark 2 = 4% At Benchmark 26 =  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 50% Above Benchmark 3 = 6% Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Benchmark 9 = 17% Below Benchmark 5 = 10% At Benchmark 38 = 73% Above  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Benchmark NA Reading Fluency (ORF) Well Below Benchmark 17 =   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 33% Below Benchmark 9 = 17% At Benchmark 8 = 15% Above Benchmark 18 =  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 35% Reading Comprehension (MAZE) Well Below Benchmark 16 = 30% Below   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Benchmark 5 = 10% At Benchmark 15 = 29% Above Benchmark 16 = 31%   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 2022-2023 PSSA ELA Standards Proficiency Grades 3-5 Grade 3: 39.7% Proficiency Total Students Tested: 58         |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Advanced: (2) 3.4% Proficient: (21) 36.2% Basic: (21) 36.2% Below Basic: (14) 24.1% Grade 3 ELA Proficiency      |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Level (1 BB, 2B, 3P, 4A) A-K.1 (Anchor 1.1) - Key Ideas and Details 1.79 A-K.1 (Anchor 6.1) - A: Literature Text |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 1.79 A-C.2 (Anchor 2.1) - Craft and Structure/Integration of Knowledge and Ideas 2.41 A-C.2 (Anchor              |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 6.2) - A: Literature Text 2.41 A-V.4 (Anchor 3.1) - Vocabulary Acquisition and Use 2.69 A-V.4 (Anchor            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 6.4) - A: Literature Text 2.69 B-V.4 (Anchor 7.4) - B: Informational Text 2.83 B-K.1 (Anchor 1.2) - Key Ideas    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | and Details 2.17 B-K.1 (Anchor 7.1) - B: Informational Text 2.17 B-C.2 (Anchor 2.3) - Craft and                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Structure/Integration of Knowledge and Ideas 2.09 B-C.2 (Anchor 7.2) - B: Informational Text 2.09 B-C.3          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | (Anchor 2.4) - Craft and Structure/Integration of Knowledge and Ideas 2.93 B-C.3 (Anchor 7.3) - B: Informational |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Text 2.93 B-V.4 (Anchor 3.2) - Vocabulary Acquisition and Use 2.83 D.1 (Anchor 4.1) - Conventions of             |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Standard English (Writing) 1.91 D.2 (Anchor 4.2) - Conventions of Standard English (Writing) 2.28 Grade 4:       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022-2023 PSSA ELA    | 39% Proficiency Total Students Tested: 59 Advanced: (3) 5.10% Proficient: (20) 33.9% Basic: (22) 37.3% Below     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standards Proficiency | Basic: (14) 23.7% Grade 4 ELA Proficiency Level (1 BB, 2B, 3P, 4A) A-K.1 (Anchor 1.1) - Key Ideas and Details    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grades 3-5            | 2.22 A-K.1 (Anchor 6.1) - A: Literature Text 2.22 A-V.4 (Anchor 3.1) - Vocabulary Acquisition and Use            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crades o o            | 3.1 A-V.4 (Anchor 6.4) - A: Literature Text 3.1 B-K.1 (Anchor 1.2) - Key Ideas and Details 2.68 B-K.1            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | (Anchor 7.1) - B: Informational Text 2.68 B-C.2 (Anchor 2.3) - Craft and Structure/Integration of Knowledge and  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Ideas 1.86 B-C.2 (Anchor 7.2) - B: Informational Text 1.86 B-C.3 (Anchor 2.4) - Craft and Structure/Integration  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | of Knowledge and Ideas 2.15 B-C.3 (Anchor 7.3) - B: Informational Text 2.15 B-V.4 (Anchor 3.2) -                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Vocabulary Acquisition and Use 2.86 B-V.4 (Anchor 7.4) - B: Informational Text 2.86 D.1 (Anchor 4.1) -           |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Conventions of Standard English (Writing) 2.8 D.2 (Anchor 4.2) - Conventions of Standard English (Writing)       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 2.69 E.1 (Anchor 5.1) Text-Dependent Analysis (Reading/Writing) 1.49 Grade 5: 29.4% Proficiency                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Total Students Tested: 51 Advanced: (1) 2% Proficient: (14) 27.5% Basic: (23) 45.1% Below Basic: (13) 25.5%      |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Grade 5 ELA Proficiency Level (1 BB, 2B, 3P, 4A) A-K.1 (Anchor 1.1) - Key Ideas and Details 2.25 A-K.1 (Anchor   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 6.1) - A: Literature Text 2.25 A-C.2 (Anchor 2.1) - Craft and Structure/Integration of Knowledge and Ideas       |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 2.29 A-C.2 (Anchor 6.2) - A: Literature Text 2.29 A-V.4 (Anchor 3.1) - Vocabulary Acquisition and Use            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 2.63 A-V.4 (Anchor 6.4) - A: Literature Text 2.63 B-K.1 (Anchor 1.2) - Key Ideas and Details 2.04 B-K.1          |  |  |  |  |  |

|                  | (Anchor 7.1) - B: Informational Text 2.04 B-C.3 (Anchor 2.4) - Craft and Structure/Integration of Knowledge and Ideas 2.18 B-C.3 (Anchor 7.3) - B: Informational Text 2.18 B-V.4 (Anchor 3.2) - Vocabulary Acquisition and Use |
|------------------|--|
|                  | 2.69 B-V.4 (Anchor 7.4) - B: Informational Text 2.69 D.1 (Anchor 4.1) - Conventions of Standard English  |
|                  | (Writing) 1.96 D.2 (Anchor 4.2) - Conventions of Standard English (Writing) 2.14 E.1 (Anchor 5.1) Text-<br>Dependent Analysis (Reading/Writing) 1.37   |
|                  | DIBELS Reading - 2024- End of Year - Grade 1 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 6 = 13%  |
|                  | Below Benchmark 6 = 13% At Benchmark 19 = 39% Above Benchmark 17 =   |
|                  | 35% Letter Names (LNF) Well Below Benchmark 7 = 15% Below  |
|                  | Benchmark 3 = 6% At Benchmark 38 = 79% Above Benchmark NA  |
|                  | Phonemic Awareness (PSF) Well Below Benchmark 4 = 8% Below Benchmark 5 =   |
|                  | 10% At Benchmark 31 = 65% Above Benchmark 8 = 17% Letter   |
| 2023-2024 DIBELS | Sounds (NWF-CLS) Well Below Benchmark 7 = 15% Below Benchmark 3 = 6% At  |
|                  | Benchmark 22 = 46% Above Benchmark 16 = 33% Decoding (NWF-WRC) Well Below  |
| Reading Grade 1  | Benchmark 5 = 10% Below Benchmark 5 = 10% At Benchmark 23 = 49%  |
|                  | Above Benchmark 15 = 31% Word Reading (WRF) Well Below Benchmark 9 = 19% Below   |
|                  | Benchmark 12 = 25% At Benchmark 13 = 27% Above Benchmark 14 =  |
|                  | 29% Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below Benchmark 17 = 35% Below Benchmark  |
|                  | 4 = 8% At Benchmark 27 = 57% Above Benchmark = NA Reading Fluency (ORF)  |
|                  | Well Below Benchmark 9 = 19% Below Benchmark 8 = 17% At Benchmark 11   |
|                  | = 23% Above Benchmark 20 = 41%   |
|                  | DIBELS Reading- 2024 End of Year - Grade 4 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 11 = 24% Below   |
|                  | Benchmark 13 = 29% At Benchmark 15 = 34% Above Benchmark 6 =   |
|                  | 13% Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below Benchmark 6 = 13% Below Benchmark   |
| 2023-2024 DIBELS | 2 = 4% At Benchmark 37 = 83% Above Benchmark NA Reading Fluency (ORF)  |
| Reading Grade 4  | Well Below Benchmark 11 = 24% Below Benchmark 13 = 29% At Benchmark 17   |
|                  | = 38% Above Benchmark 4 = 9% Reading Comprehension (MAZE) Well Below Benchmark   |
|                  | 14 = 31% Below Benchmark 8 = 18% At Benchmark 19 = 42% Above   |
|                  | Benchmark 4 = 9%   |
|                  | DIBELS Reading- 2024 End of Year - Grade 5 Composite Score Well Below Benchmark 22 = 42% Below   |
|                  | Benchmark 5 = 10% At Benchmark 11 = 21% Above Benchmark 14 =   |
| 2023-2024 DIBELS | 27% Reading Accuracy (ORF-Accu) Well Below Benchmark 3 = 6% Below  |
| Reading Grade 5  | Benchmark 5 = 10% At Benchmark 44 = 84% Above Benchmark NA   |
| _                | Reading Fluency (ORF) Well Below Benchmark 22 = 42% Below Benchmark 5 = 10% At   |
|                  | Benchmark 11 = 21% Above Benchmark 14 = 27% Reading Comprehension (MAZE)   |

|                                 | Well Below Benchmark | 14 = 27%         | Below Benchmark         | 7 = 13% At Benchmark   | 10 = 19% |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|----------|
|                                 | Above Benchmark      | 21 = 41%         |                         |  |          |
| 2023-2024 CDT ELA<br>Grades 3-5 |                      | Grade 4 BOY- 7 c | of 40 (17.50%) MOY- 9 o | 3 BOY- 14 of 47 (29.79%) MOY- 19<br>f 44 (20.45%) EOY- 13 of 44 (29.55<br>1.92%) | ` ,      |

### **English Language Arts Summary**

#### **Strengths**

DIBELS Reading - 2024- End of Year - Grade K Composite Score= 86% Proficient

ELA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%.

#### **Challenges**

The 2023-2024 ELA CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 3= 48.08% (25 of 52 students), Grade 4= 29.55% (13 of 44 students), and Grade 5= 51.92% (27 of 52 students)

The 2021-2022 ELA PSSA percentage of students scoring Basic or Below Basic are as follows: Grade 3= 60.3% (35 of 58 students), Grade 4= 61% (36 of 59 students), Grade 5= 61% (34 of 51 students)

#### **Mathematics**

| Data             | Comments/Notable Observations  |
|------------------|--|
| 2023-2024 CDT    | 2023-2024 Math CDT Grades 3-5 Proficient or Advanced: Grade 3 BOY- 2 of 51 (3.92%) MOY- 7 of 52 (13.46%) EOY- 24 of    |
| Math Grades 3-5  | 52 (46.15%) Grade 4 BOY- 0 of 41 (0.00%) MOY- 2 of 43 (4.65%) EOY- 9 of 44 (20.45%) Grade 5 BOY- 6 of 53 (11.32%)      |
| Matil Glades 3-5 | MOY- 10 of 53 (18.87%) EOY- 18 of 50 (36.00%)  |
|                  | 2022-2023 Math PSSA Grades 3-5 Grade 3: 22% Proficiency Total Students Tested: 50 Advanced: (0) 0% Proficient: (11)    |
|                  | 22% Basic: (17) 34% Below Basic: (22) 44% Grade 3 Math Proficiency Level (1 BB, 2B, 3P, 4A) M03.A-T.1 Numbers and      |
|                  | Operations In Base Ten ~ Use place-value understanding and properties of operations to perform multi-digit arithmetic. |
|                  | 2.47 M03.B-O.1 Operations and Algebraic Thinking ~ Represent and solve problems involving multiplication               |
|                  | and division. 2.81 M03.B-O.2 Operations and Algebraic Thinking ~ Understand properties of multiplication and the       |
| 2022-2023 PSSA   | relationship between multiplication and division. 2.41 M03.B-O.3 Operations and Algebraic Thinking ~ Solve             |
| Math Grades 3-5  | problems involving the four operations, and identify and explain patterns in arithmetic. 2.36 M03.C-G.1                |
|                  | Geometry ~ Reason with shapes and their attributes. 1.71 M03.D-M.1 Measurement and Data ~ Solve                        |
|                  | problems involving measurement and estimation of intervals of time, money, liquid volumes, masses, and lengths of      |
|                  | objects. 2.38 M03.D-M.2 Measurement and Data ~ Represent and interpret data. 2.14 M03.D-M.3                            |
|                  | Measurement and Data ~ Geometric measurement: understand concepts of area and relate area to                           |
|                  | multiplication and to addition. 2.29 M03.D-M.4 Measurement and Data ~ Geometric measurement: recognize                 |

perimeter as an attribute of plane figures and distinguish between linear and area measures. 2.74 Grade 4: 13% Proficiency Total Students Tested: 46 Advanced: (1) 2.2% Proficient: (5) 10.9% Basic: (18) 39.1% Below Basic: (22) 47.8% Grade 4 Math Proficiency Level (1 BB, 2B, 3P, 4A) M04.A-T.1 Numbers and Operations in Base Ten ~ Generalize place-value understanding for multi-digit whole numbers. 1.97 M04.A-T.2 Numbers and Operations in Base Ten ~ Use place-value understanding and properties of operations to perform multi-digit arithmetic. 2.25 M04.A-F.1 Numbers and Operations - Fractions ~ Extend understanding of fraction equivalence and ordering. 1.86 M04.A-F.2 Numbers and Operations - Fractions ~ Build fractions from unit fractions by applying and extending previous understandings of operations on whole numbers. 2.41 M04.B-O.1 Operations and Algebraic Thinking ~ Use the four operations with whole numbers to solve problems. 2.37 M04.B-O.2 Operations and Algebraic Thinking ~ Operations and Algebraic Thinking ~ Generate and Gain familiarity with factors and multiples. 2.47 M04.B-O.3 analyze patterns. Geometry ~ Draw and identify lines and angles, and classify shapes by 1.61 M04.C-G.1 properties of their lines and angles. Measurement and Data ~ Solve problems involving 2.71 M04.D-M.1 measurement and conversion of measurements from a larger unit to a smaller unit. 1.83 M04.D-M.2 Measurement and Data ~ Represent and interpret data. 2.54 M04.D-M.3 Measurement and Data ~ Geometric measurement: understand concepts of angle; measure and create angles. 2.07 Grade 5: 17.4% Total Students Tested: 46 Advanced: (3) 6.5% Proficient: (5) 10.9% Basic: (19) 41.3% Below Basic: (19) 41.3% Grade 5 Math Proficiency Level (1 BB, 2B, 3P, 4A) M05.A-T.1 Numbers and Operations in Base Ten ~ Understand the place-value system. M05.A-T.2 Numbers and Operations in Base Ten ~ Perform operations with multi-digit whole numbers and with decimals to hundredths, M05, A-F, 1 Numbers and Operations - Fractions ~ Use equivalent fractions as a strategy to add and subtract fractions. M05.A-F.2 Numbers and Operations - Fractions ~ Apply and extend previous understandings of multiplication and division to multiply and divide fractions. M05.B-O.1 Operations and Algebraic Thinking ~ Write and interpret numerical expressions. M05.B-O.2 Operations and Algebraic Thinking ~ Analyze patterns and relationships. M05.C-G.1 Geometry ~ Graph points on the coordinate plane to solve real-world and mathematical Geometry ~ Classify two-dimensional figures into categories based on their properties. problems. M05.C-G.2 M05.D-M.1 Measurement and Data ~ Convert like measurement units within a given measurement system. M05.D-M.2 Measurement and Data ~ Represent and interpret data. M05.D-M.2.1: Organize, display, and answer questions based on data. M05.D-M.3 Measurement and Data ~ Geometric measurement: understand concepts of volume and relate volume to multiplication and to addition. 2023-2024 mCLASS Math Grade K Students Tested = 36 Overall 1 = 3% Strategic Intensive 16 = 44% Benchmark 19 = 53% Num. Identification 10 = 28% Strategic 2023-2024 Intensive 20 = 55%6 = 17% Qty. Discrimination mCLASS Math Benchmark Intensive 3 = 8% Strategic 18 = 50% Benchmark Grade K 15 = 42% Counting Intensive 0 = 0% Strategic 15 = 42% Benchmark 21 = 58% Missing Number 10 = 28% Benchmark 25 = 69% Intensive 1 = 3% Strategic 2 = 4% Strategic 2023-2024 2023-2024 mCLASS Math Grade 1 Students Tested = 45 Overall Intensive 20 = 44% Benchmark 23 = 52% Num. Identification mCLASS Math Intensive 3 = 7% Strategic 20 =

| Grade 1     | 44%         | Benchmark      | 22 = 49%      | Number Facts     | Intensive  | 1 =       | 2%       | Strategic | 15 = 33%    |
|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|
|             | Benchmark   | 29 = 65% Qty   | . Discrimina  | tion Inten       | sive :     | 2 = 49    | √ Strate | egic      | 8 = 18%     |
|             | Benchmark   | 35 = 78% C     | Counting Inte | ensive 1         | = 2%       | Strategic | 38       | = 85%     | 6 Benchmark |
|             | 6 = 13%     | Missing Number | er Inte       | nsive 4          | = 9%       | Strategic | 19       | = 42%     | 6 Benchmark |
|             | 22 = 49%    | Next Number    | Intensive     | 6 =              | 13% Stra   | tegic     | 31 =     | 69% Ber   | nchmark 8 = |
|             | 18%         |                |               |                  |            |           |          |           |             |
|             | 2023-2024 n | nCLASS Math G  | rade 2 Stud   | ents Tested = 51 | Overall    | Intensive | 16       | 5 = 319   | 6 Strategic |
|             | 20 = 40%    | Benchmark      | 5 = 10%       | Number Facts     | Intensive  | 16 =      | 31%      | Strategic | 20 =        |
| 2023-2024   | 40%         | Benchmark      | 15 = 29%      | Qty. Discrimina  | ation Inte | nsive     | 9 =      | 18% Str   | ategic 41 = |
| mCLASS Math | 80%         | Benchmark      | 1 = 2%        | Missing Number   | er Inte    | nsive     | 31 =     | 61% Str   | ategic 17 = |
| Grade 2     | 33%         | Benchmark      | 3 = 6%        | Computation      | Intensive  | 20 =      | 39%      | Strategic | 30 =        |
|             | 59%         | Benchmark      | 1 = 2%        | Concepts         | Intensive  | 7 =       | 14%      | Strategic | 33 =        |
|             | 64%         | Benchmark      | 11 = 22%      |                  |            |           |          |           |             |
|             | 2023-2024 n | nCLASS Math G  | rade 3 Stud   | ents Tested = 52 | 2 Overall  | Intensive | 15       | 5 = 29%   | 6 Strategic |
|             | 27 = 52%    | Benchmark      | 10 = 19%      | Number Facts     | Intensive  | 17 =      | 33%      | Strategic | 15 =        |
| 2023-2024   | 29%         | Benchmark      | 10 = 19%      | Qty. Discrimina  | ation Inte | nsive     | 23 =     | 44% Stra  | ategic 23 = |
| mCLASS Math | 44%         | Benchmark      | 6 = 12%       | Missing Numb     | er Inte    | nsive     | 35 =     | 67% Str   | ategic 12 = |
| Grade 3     | 23%         | Benchmark      | 5 = 10%       | Computation      | Intensive  | 7 = 139   | % Strate | egic :    | 33 = 64%    |
|             | Benchmark   | 12 = 23% C     | Concepts      | Intensive        | 10 =       | 19% Str   | rategic  | 26 =      | 50%         |
|             | Benchmark   | 16 = 31%       |               |                  |            |           |          |           |             |

### **Mathematics Summary**

### **Strengths**

Math Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%.

2022-2023 mCLASS Math Grade K- 2023 End of Year Overall Score = 74% At Benchmark

### **Challenges**

The 2023-2024 Math CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 3= 46.15% (24 of 52 students), Grade 4= 20.45% (9 of 44 students), and Grade 5= 36.00% (18 of 50 students)

The 2022-2023 Math PSSA percentage of students scoring Basic or Below Basic are as follows: Grade 3= 78% (39 of 50 students), Grade 4= 86.9% (40 of 46 students), and Grade 5= 82.6% (38 of 46 students)

## Science, Technology, and Engineering Education

| Data | Comments/Notable Observations |
|------|-------------------------------|
|------|-------------------------------|

|                 | 2020-2021 PSSA Science Grade 4 Grade 4 = 71.7% Proficiency Total Students Tested: 46 Advanced: (9) 19.6% Proficient:      |
|-----------------|---|
|                 | (24) 52.2% Basic: (11) 23.9% Below Basic: (2) 4.3% 2020-2021 Math PSSA Science Grade 4 S4.A.1 Reasoning and               |
|                 | Analysis 1.54 S4.A.2 Processes, Procedures and Tools of Scientific Investigations 2.34 S4.A.3 Systems,                    |
|                 | Models and Patterns 2.22 S4.B.1.1 Identify and describe similarities and differences between living things and their life |
| 2020-2021 PSSA  | processes. 2.61 S4.B.2 Continuity of Life 2.54 S4.B.3 Ecological Behavior and Systems 2.27 S4.C.2.1                       |
| Science Grade 4 | Recognize basic energy types and sources, or describe how energy can be changed from one form to another.                 |
|                 | 1.92 S4.C.3.1 Identify and describe different types of force and motion, or the effect of the interaction between         |
|                 | force and motion. 2.85 S4.D.1 Earth Features and Processes that Change Earth and Its Resources 2.14 S4.D.2.1              |
|                 | Identify basic weather conditions and how they are measured. 2.34 S4.D.3.1 Describe Earth's relationship to the           |
|                 | sun and the moon. 2.46  |
| 2023-2024 CDT   | 2023-2024 Science CDT Grade 4 Proficient or Advanced: Grade 4 BOY- 25 of 43 (58.14%) MOY- 31 of 44 (70.45%) EOY- 23       |
| Science Grade 4 | of 44 (52.27%)  |

## **Science, Technology, and Engineering Education Summary**

#### **Strengths**

The 2023-2024 Science CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 4= 52.27% (23 of 44 students) Science Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%.

The 2021-2022 Science PSSA percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 4= 71.7% (33 of 46 students).

### **Challenges**

The 2021-2022 Science PSSA percentage of students scoring Basic or Below Basic are as follows: Grade 4= 28.2% (13 of 46 students).

The 2022-2023 Science CDT percentage of students scoring Basic or Below Basic are as follows: Grade 4= 15.3% (9 of 59 students)

#### **Related Academics**

#### **Career Readiness**

| Data                 | Comments/Notable Observations  |
|----------------------|--|
| 2022-2023- PA Future | 2022-2023 Career Standards Benchmark: The All Student Group did meet the Performance Standard of 98% |
| Ready Index          | with a completion rate of 100%.  |
| None                 | None   |

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

True Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs Omit

#### **Arts and Humanities**

**True** Arts and Humanities Omit

### **Environment and Ecology**

**True** Environment and Ecology Omit

#### **Family and Consumer Sciences**

**True** Family and Consumer Sciences Omit

#### Health, Safety, and Physical Education

True Health, Safety, and Physical Education Omit

#### Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History)

True Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History) Omit

#### **Summary**

### **Strengths**

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

2022-2023 Career Standards Benchmark: The All Student Group exceeded the Statewide Average of 98% completion rate with a completion rate of 100%.

n/a

## Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

| n/a |  |      |
|-----|--|------|
| n/a |  | <br> |

# **Equity Considerations**

# **English Learners**

**True** This student group is not a focus in this plan.

### **Students with Disabilities**

False This student group is not a focus in this plan.

| Data  | Comments/Notable Observations   |
|---|---|
| 2022-2023 ELA PSSA: Subgroup-Students with  | 17.1% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the |
| Disabilities                                | ELA PSSA.   |
| 2022-2023 Math PSSA: Subgroup-Students with | 12.2% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the |
| Disabilities                                | Math PSSA.  |
|   |   |

# **Students Considered Economically Disadvantaged**

False This student group is not a focus in this plan.

| Data                              | Comments/Notable Observations  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| 2022-2023 ELA PSSA: Subgroup-     | 00% of students in the Feenemically Disadventeged subgroup secred advenged on the FLA DSSA |
| Economically Disadvantaged        | 0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. |
| 2022-2023 Math PSSA: Subgroup-    | Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had an 1.2% of students in the         |
| Economically Disadvantaged        | Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.                      |
| 2022-2023 Science PSSA: Subgroup- | Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had an 18.5% of students in the        |
| Economically Disadvantaged        | Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA.                   |

#### **Student Groups by Race/Ethnicity**

**True** This student group is not a focus in this plan.

#### **Summary**

#### **Strengths**

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

| 2022-2023 ELA, Math, and Science All sub-groups met the target for participation in the PSSA. |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |

#### **Challenges**

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

- 17.1% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.
- 12.2% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.
- 0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.

Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had an 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.

Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had an 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA.

# **Conditions for Leadership, Teaching, and Learning**

# Focus on Continuous improvement of Instruction

| Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards   | Operational |
|---|-------------|
| Use systematic, collaborative planning processes to ensure instruction is coordinated, aligned, and evidence-based  | Operational |
| Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and summative) to monitor student learning and adjust programs and instructional practices | Operational |
| Identify and address individual student learning needs  | Operational |
| Provide frequent, timely, and systematic feedback and support on instructional practices  | Operational |

# **Empower Leadership**

| Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members  | Operational |
|---|-------------|
| Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning   | Operational |
| Build leadership capacity and empower staff in the development and successful implementation of initiatives that better serve students, staff, and the school | Operational |
| Organize programmatic, human, and fiscal capital resources aligned with the school improvement plan and needs of the school community                         | Operational |
| Continuously monitor implementation of the school improvement plan and adjust as needed   | Emerging    |

# **Provide Student-Centered Support Systems**

| Promote and sustain a positive school environment where all members feel welcomed, supported, and safe in school: socially, emotionally, intellectually and physically | Exemplary |
|--|-----------|
| Implement an evidence-based system of schoolwide positive behavior interventions and supports  | Exemplary |
| Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior   | Exemplary |
| Implement evidence-based strategies to engage families to support learning   | Emerging  |
| Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the school   | Emerging  |

# **Foster Quality Professional Learning**

| Identify professional learning needs through analysis of a variety of data                       | Operational |
|--|-------------|
| Use multiple professional learning designs to support the learning needs of staff                | Operational |
| Monitor and evaluate the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning | Operational |

#### **Summary**

#### **Strengths**

Which Essential Practices are currently Operational or Exemplary and could be leveraged in your efforts to improve upon your most pressing challenges?

Implement an evidence-based system of schoolwide positive behavior interventions and supports.

Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior.

#### **Challenges**

Thinking about all the most pressing challenges identified in the previous sections, which of the Essential Practices that are currently Not Yet Evident or Emerging, if improved, would greatly impact your progress in achieving your mission, vision and Future Ready PA Index interim targets in State Assessment Measures, On-Track Measures, or College and Career Measures?

Implement evidence-based strategies to engage families to support learning.

Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the school.

## **Summary of Strengths and Challenges from the Needs Assessment**

### **Strengths**

Examine the Summary of Strengths. Identify the strengths that are most positively contributing to achievement of your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified strength(s).

| Strength  | Check for Consideration |
|---|-------------------------|
| Stierigti   | in Plan                 |
| 2022-2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of           | True                    |
| 97.5%   |                         |
| 2022-2023 Math PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of          | True                    |
| 96.9%   |                         |
| 2022-2023 Science PSSA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 95.1% | False                   |
| DIBELS Reading - 2024- End of Year - Grade K Composite Score= 86% Proficient                                      | False                   |
| ELA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%.                    | False                   |
| 2022-2023 Career Standards Benchmark: The All Student Group exceeded the Statewide Average of 98%                 | False                   |
| completion rate with a completion rate of 100%.   | Tatse                   |
| Science Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%.                | False                   |
| Implement an evidence-based system of schoolwide positive behavior interventions and supports.                    | False                   |
| Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior.   | False                   |
| The 2023-2024 Science CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 4=          | False                   |
| 52.27% (23 of 44 students)  | 1 4130                  |
| n/a   | False                   |
| Math Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 100%.                   | False                   |
| 2022-2023 mCLASS Math Grade K- 2023 End of Year Overall Score = 74% At Benchmark                                  | False                   |
| The 2021-2022 Science PSSA percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 4=         | False                   |
| 71.7% (33 of 46 students).  | i alse                  |
| 2022-2023 ELA, Math, and Science All sub-groups met the target for participation in the PSSA.                     | False                   |

### **Challenges**

Examine the Summary of Challenges. Identify the challenges which are most pressing at this time for your School and if improved would have the most pronounced impact in achieving your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified challenge(s).

| Ctrongth  | Check for Consideration |
|---|-------------------------|
| Strength  | in Plan                 |
| 2022-2023 PSSA ELA All Student Group scored 37.8% Proficient or Advanced.   | True                    |
| 2022-2023 PSSA Math All Student Group scored 29.7% Proficient or Advanced.  | True                    |
| The 2023-2024 ELA CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 3= 48.08% (25 of 52 students), Grade 4= 29.55% (13 of 44 students), and Grade 5= 51.92% (27 of 52 students) | False                   |
| The 2023-2024 Math CDT percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced are as follows: Grade 3= 46.15% (24 of 52 students), Grade 4= 20.45% (9 of 44 students), and Grade 5= 36.00% (18 of 50 students) | False                   |
| n/a   | False                   |
| The 2022-2023 Science CDT percentage of students scoring Basic or Below Basic are as follows: Grade 4= 15.3% (9 of 59 students)   | False                   |
| n/a   | False                   |
| 17.1% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.   | False                   |
| 12.2% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.  | False                   |
| 0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.  | False                   |
| The 2021-2022 Science PSSA percentage of students scoring Basic or Below Basic are as follows: Grade 4= 28.2% (13 of 46 students).  | False                   |
| Implement evidence-based strategies to engage families to support learning.   | False                   |
| Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the school.   | False                   |
| The 2022-2023 Math PSSA percentage of students scoring Basic or Below Basic are as follows: Grade 3= 78% (39 of 50 students), Grade 4= 86.9% (40 of 46 students), and Grade 5= 82.6% (38 of 46 students)      | False                   |
| The 2021-2022 ELA PSSA percentage of students scoring Basic or Below Basic are as follows: Grade 3= 60.3% (35 of 58 students), Grade 4= 61% (36 of 59 students), Grade 5= 61% (34 of 51 students)             | False                   |
| Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had an 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA.  | False                   |
| Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had an 18.5% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Science PSSA.  | False                   |

#### **Most Notable Observations/Patterns**

In the space provided, record any of the comments and notable observations made as your team worked through the needs assessment that stand out as important to the challenge(s) you checked for consideration in your comprehensive plan.

The All Student Group ELA and Math PSSAs scores are areas of weakness that need addressed.

# **Analyzing (Strengths and Challenges)**

# **Analyzing Challenges**

| Analyzing Challenges   | Discussion Points   | Check for<br>Priority |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| 2022-2023 PSSA ELA All<br>Student Group scored 37.8%<br>Proficient or Advanced.  | ELA Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 37.4% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 31.8% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 17.1% scored proficient or advanced. ELA Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 57% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth (%). The Statewide Average Growth Score was 70%. Students in the White Subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 60% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 71.1% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. ELA Advanced on the ELA PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the ELA PSSA, which is below the statewide average of 2.6%. 2.7% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA. 0% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the ELA PSSA.  | True                  |
| 2022-2023 PSSA Math All<br>Student Group scored 29.7%<br>Proficient or Advanced. | Math Achievement: Students in the White subgroup: 29.5% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup: 19% scored proficient or advanced. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup: 12.2% scored proficient or advanced. Math Growth: Students in the All Student Group earned an Academic Growth Score of 85% and did not meet the standard demonstrating growth (%). The Statewide Average Growth Score was 78%. Students in the White Subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Students in the Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup earned an Academic Growth Score of 78% and did meet the standard demonstrating growth. Math Advanced on the Math PSSA: 3.9% of the All Student Group scored advanced on the Math PSSA, which is below the statewide average of %. 4.1% of students in the White subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 1.2% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. 2.4% of students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup scored advanced on the Math PSSA. | True                  |

# **Analyzing Strengths**

| Analyzing Strengths | Discussion Points |
|---------------------|-------------------|

|  | ELA Participation: The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 97.5%. |
|--|---|
| 2022-2023 ELA PSSA Participation: The  | Students in the White subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 97.4%.           |
| All Student Group had a PA State       | Students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation     |
| Assessment Participation Rate of 97.5% | Rate of 97.7%. Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup had a PA State Assessment    |
|  | Participation Rate of 93.2%.  |
|  | The All Student Group had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.9% Students in the     |
| 2022-2023 Math PSSA Participation: The | White subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.7%. Students in the           |
| All Student Group had a PA State       | Economically Disadvantaged subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation Rate of 96.6%.      |
| Assessment Participation Rate of 96.9% | Students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup had a PA State Assessment Participation     |
|  | Rate of 93.2%.  |

# **Priority Challenges**

| Analyzing Priority Challenges | Priority Statements   |
|-------------------------------|---|
|                               | PSSA ELA scores will increase by following the standards aligned curriculum with fidelity. PSSA ELA scores will increase by using quality resources and providing teachers with the training to best use those resources. PSSA ELA scores will increase by providing targeted instruction through MTSS.               |
|                               | PSSA math scores will increase by following the standards aligned curriculum with fidelity. PSSA math scores will increase by using quality resources and providing teachers with the training to best use those resources. PSSA math scores will improve by increasing parent involvement with student math mastery. |

## **Goal Setting**

Priority: PSSA ELA scores will increase by following the standards aligned curriculum with fidelity. PSSA ELA scores will increase by using quality resources and providing teachers with the training to best use those resources. PSSA ELA scores will increase by providing targeted instruction through MTSS.

| Outcome Category   |   |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| English Language Ar  | ts  |   |   |  |
| Measurable Goal St   | atement (Smart Goal)  |   |   |  |
| Using the PSSA, Gra  | Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 ELA scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 6%.   |   |   |  |
| Measurable Goal N  | Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max)   |   |   |  |
| PSSA ELA Score Imp   | PSSA ELA Score Improvement  |   |   |  |
| Target 1st Quarter   | Target 2nd Quarter  | Target 3rd Quarter  | Target 4th Quarter  |  |
| Baseline data will<br>be gathered at the<br>beginning of the<br>school year. | Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 ELA proficiency scores will improve from BOY 2024-2025 CDT to MOY 2024-2025 CDT by 2%. | Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 ELA proficiency scores will improve from MOY 2024-2025 CDT to EOY 2024-2025 CDT by 2%. | Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 ELA proficiency scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 6%. |  |

Priority: PSSA math scores will increase by following the standards aligned curriculum with fidelity. PSSA math scores will increase by using quality resources and providing teachers with the training to best use those resources. PSSA math scores will improve by increasing parent involvement with student math mastery.

| increasing parent involvement with student math mastery.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Outcome Category   | Outcome Category   |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measurable Goal St   | tatement (Smart Goal)  |  |  |  |  |
| Using the PSSA, Gra  | des 3-5 math proficiency scores will improve f   | rom 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 6%.  |  |  |  |
| Measurable Goal N  | ickname (35 Character Max)   |  |  |  |  |
| PSSA Math Score Im   | PSSA Math Score Improvement  |  |  |  |  |
| Target 1st Quarter   | Target 2nd Quarter   | Target 3rd Quarter   | Target 4th Quarter   |  |  |
| Baseline data will<br>be gathered at the<br>beginning of the<br>school year. | Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from BOY 2024-2025 CDT to MOY 2024-2025 CDT by 2%. | Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from MOY 2024-2025 CDT to EOY 2024-2025 CDT by 2%. | Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 6%. |  |  |

# Outcome Category

Parent and family engagement

## Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Goal)

Due to the parent and family engagement activities initiated at the building level in supporting mathematics practice in the home and using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 math scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 6%.

### Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Character Max)

Math Parent and Family Engagement

| Target 1st Quarter   | Target 2nd Quarter   | Target 3rd Quarter                       | Target 4th Quarter   |
|--|--|--|--|
| Baseline data will<br>be gathered at the<br>beginning of the<br>school year. | Using the Classroom Diagnostic Tools (CDT) set of online assessments, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from BOY 2024-2025 CDT to MOY 2024-2025 CDT by 2%. | l 5 Math nroticiency scores will improve | Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 Math proficiency scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 6%. |

# **Action Plan**

## **Measurable Goals**

| PSSA ELA Score Improvement        | PSSA Math Score Improvement |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Math Parent and Family Engagement |                             |

# **Action Plan For: Standards Aligned ELA Instruction**

## Measurable Goals:

• Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 ELA scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 6%.

| Action Step  |  | Anticipated Start/Completion Date |                       |  |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| YES will follow the sequenced ELA o  | urriculum map and common assessment schedule using the district adopted  | 2024-08-                          | 2025-06-              |  |
| Core Knowledge Language Arts liter   | acy suite.   | 27                                | 06                    |  |
| Lead Person/Position   | Material/Resources/Supports Needed   | PD Step?                          |                       |  |
| Amy Stimmell/Principal   | *WCSD Planned Instruction & Curriculum Maps *CKLA Literacy Suite<br>Resources *Literacy Supplies *Academic Coaching Support *Time for<br>Collaboration with Colleagues | No                                |                       |  |
| Action Step  | ·  | Anticipated                       |                       |  |
| Action Step  | Action Step  |                                   | Start/Completion Date |  |
| YES teachers will participate in Stru  | ctured Literacy training.  | 2025-01-<br>17                    | 2025-02-<br>17        |  |
| Lead Person/Position   | Material/Resources/Supports Needed   | PD Step?                          |                       |  |
| Amy Stimmell/Principal Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment | Structured Literacy Online Course Additional Literacy Trainings  | Yes                               |                       |  |
| Action Step  |  | Anticipated<br>Start/Com<br>Date  |                       |  |
| YES will utilize DIBELS 8th Edition to   | administer reading benchmark assessments three times a year to identify  | 2024-09-                          | 2025-05-              |  |
| areas of strengths and weaknesses.   | Using benchmark data, YES will create groups to provide targeted instructional   | 04                                | 30                    |  |

| additional literacy materials/strategies | i.  |                  |          |
|--|---|------------------|----------|
| Lead Person/Position                     | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?         |          |
|  | *DIBELS 8th Edition *mCLASS *ECRI Intensification *Boost Reading            |                  |          |
| Amy Stimmell/Principal                   | *Additional Literacy Materials/Strategies *Academic Coaching Support        | Yes              |          |
|  | *Reading Specialists *Paraprofessionals *Data Meetings                      |                  |          |
|  |   | Anticipated      | d        |
| Action Step                              |   | Start/Com        | pletion  |
|  |   | Date             |          |
| YES teachers will benefit from onsite c  | oaching support from CKLA experts who will help reinforce effective use and | 2024-10-         | 2025-02- |
| appropriate pacing of the Core Knowle    | dge Language Arts (CKLA) literacy suite.                                    | 21               | 28       |
| Lead Person/Position                     | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?         |          |
| Amy Stimmell/Principal Lynn              |   |                  |          |
| Shultz/Director of Curriculum,           | *CKLA Onsite Coaching *CKLA Literacy Suite Resources                        | Yes              |          |
| Instruction, & Assessment                |   |                  |          |
|  |   | Anticipated      | d        |
| Action Step                              |   | Start/Completion |          |
|  |   | Date             |          |
| YES teachers will benefit from ongoing   | support from the WCSD academic coaching team who will help reinforce        | 2024-08-         | 2025-06- |
| best practices.                          |   | 22               | 06       |
| Lead Person/Position                     | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?         |          |
| Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum,      |   |                  |          |
| Instruction, & Assessment Medina         | Academic Coaching Team  | Yes              |          |
| motraotion, a 7 tooosomont i roama       | 1   |                  |          |

| Anticipated Output   | Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)   |
|--|---|
| 1.) Curriculum Pacing Checks 2.) Lesson Plan<br>Checks 3.) Professional Development Attendance<br>and Evaluations 4.) Data Meeting<br>Schedules/Intervention Plans | 1.) Curriculum Pacing Checks will be completed by Lynn Shultz, Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment to determine if teachers are following the district's curriculum pacing guide, to determine the feasibility of the set pacing schedule, and to provide support as needed. 2.) Lesson Plan Checks and Observations will be completed by Principal, Amy Stimmell to ensure teachers are following the curriculum map and using the CKLA Literacy Suite and district literacy initiatives with fidelity. 3.) Professional Development Attendance and Evaluations will |

| be reviewed by the Lynn Shultz, the principals, and academic coaches. 4.) Data       |
|--|
| meeting schedules and intervention plans will be submitted by teachers to Principal, |
| Amy Stimmell.  |

## **Action Plan For: Standards Aligned Math Instruction**

#### Measurable Goals:

- Due to the parent and family engagement activities initiated at the building level in supporting mathematics practice in the home and using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 math scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 6%.
- Using the PSSA, Grades 3-5 math proficiency scores will improve from 2023-2024 to 2024-2025 by 6%.

| Action Step  |   | Anticipated<br>Start/Comp<br>Date |                |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| YES will follow the district's se assessment schedule. | quenced mathematics planned instructions, curriculum maps, and common   | 2024-08-<br>27                    | 2025-06-<br>06 |
| Lead Person/Position                                   | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?                          |                |
| Amy Stimmell/Principal                                 | *Planned Instruction/Curriculum Maps *SAVVAS Textbook & Resources *Academic Coaching Support *Time to Collaborate with Colleagues   | No                                |                |
| Action Step  |   | Anticipated<br>Start/Comp<br>Date |                |
| Schedule the mathematics blo                           | ock to include small groups at least 3 times a week. Optional training will be offered  | 2024-09-                          | 2025-06-       |
| for best practices in designing                        | and implementing small group instruction.   | 09                                | 06             |
| Lead Person/Position                                   | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?                          |                |
| Amy Stimmell/Principal                                 | *Math Schedules *Small Group Design & Strategies *Math Resources: SAVVAS & SAVVY, *mCLASS Math (K-3), *CDT Data *ST Math  | No                                |                |
| Action Step  |   | Anticipated<br>Start/Comp<br>Date |                |
|  | K-3) and CDTs (3-5) as benchmarks to be administered three times a year to identify esses. Using benchmark data, YES will create groups to provide targeted instructional | 2024-09-<br>05                    | 2025-05-<br>30 |

| support during the math block at I                        | east 3 times a week.  |                  |          |
|---|---|------------------|----------|
| Lead Person/Position                                      | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?         |          |
| Amy Stimmell/Principal                                    | *mCLASS Math *CDTs *WCSD Assessment Calendar                                      | No               |          |
|   |   | Anticipated      | t        |
| Action Step   |   | Start/Completion |          |
|   |   | Date             |          |
| Math teachers will learn and revie                        | w math best instructional practices and effective use of the SAVVAS math          | 2024-09-         | 2025-05- |
| textbook and resources.                                   |   | 09               | 30       |
| Lead Person/Position                                      | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?         |          |
| Brian Reynolds/Principal                                  | *SAVVAS Textbooks and Resources *Ongoing mathematics instructional                | Yes              |          |
| Academic Coaches  | support from academic coaches *Online Training Videos - SAVVAS and SAVVY          | res              |          |
|   |   | Anticipated      | d        |
| Action Step   |   | Start/Completion |          |
|   |   | Date             |          |
| The YES MATH PFE PLAN: Identify                           | based on teacher input and mathematics data, a set of math skills in each grade   |                  |          |
| level that parents can actively reir                      | force at home to increase their child's ability to master those skills. Provide   | 2024-09-         | 2025-05- |
| training and materials to parents a                       | and families to reinforce select math skills. Provide ST Math training to parents | 16               | 30       |
| and families to share how parents                         | can support student use of ST Math at home.                                       |                  |          |
| Lead Person/Position                                      | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?         |          |
| Amy Stimmoll/Principal Lynn                               | *YES's Math Parent and Family Engagement Plan *Administrative and                 |                  |          |
| Amy Stimmell/Principal Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum | Academic Coaching Support *ST Math Training for Parents *Math Training and        | No               |          |
| Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment  | Materials for Parents/Families *Social Media/School Web Page *Title I P&F         | INU              |          |
| monucion, a Assessment                                    | Engagement Supplies   |                  |          |

| Anticipated Output  | Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method)                    |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | 1.) Curriculum Pacing Checks will be completed by Lynn Shultz, Director  |  |  |
| 1.) Curriculum Pacing Checks 2.) Lesson Plan Checks 3.)         | of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment to determine if teachers are    |  |  |
| Professional Development Attendance and Evaluations 4.) Posts   | following the district's developed curriculum pacing guide, to determine |  |  |
| of Math Parent & Family Engagement Activities using the Title I | the feasibility of the set pacing schedule, and to provide support as    |  |  |
| PFE purchased math supplies for families to support math        | needed. 2.) Lesson Plan Checks and Observations will be completed by     |  |  |
| instruction in the home. 5.) Math Parent and Family Engagement  | Principal, Amy Stimmell to ensure teachers are following the curriculum  |  |  |
| Surveys   | map and using the district approved math resources with fidelity. 3.)    |  |  |
|   | Professional Development Attendance and Evaluations will be reviewed     |  |  |

| by Lynn Shultz, the principals, and academic coaches. 4.) Posts of Math  |
|--|
| Parent & Family Engagement Activities will be made available on the      |
| school's Facebook and web page by Principal, Amy Stimmell with           |
| support from Central Office. 5.) Math Parent and Family Engagement       |
| Surveys will be posted by Principal, Amy Stimmell with support from Lynn |
| Shultz, and academic coaches.  |

# **Expenditure Tables**

# **School Improvement Set Aside Grant**

True School does not receive School Improvement Set Aside Grant.

# **Schoolwide Title 1 Funding Allocation**

False School does not receive Schoolwide Title 1 funding.

| eGgrant Budget Category (Schoolwide Funding) | Action Plan(s)  | Expenditure Description  | Amount |
|--|---|--|--------|
| Instruction                                  | Standards Aligned     ELA Instruction   | Reading Specialists and<br>Paraprofessional Salaries<br>and Benefits | 237831 |
| Instruction                                  | <ul> <li>Standards Aligned</li> <li>ELA Instruction</li> <li>Standards Aligned</li> <li>Math Instruction</li> </ul> | Classroom Supplies   | 757    |
|  |   |  |        |
| Total Expenditures                           |   |  |        |

# **Professional Development**

# **Professional Development Action Steps**

| Evidence-based<br>Strategy           | Action Steps   |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Standards Aligned ELA Instruction    | YES teachers will participate in Structured Literacy training.   |
| Standards Aligned<br>ELA Instruction | YES will utilize DIBELS 8th Edition to administer reading benchmark assessments three times a year to identify areas of strengths and weaknesses. Using benchmark data, YES will create groups to provide targeted instructional support using intervention tools/strategies such as mClass Reading, Boost Reading, ECRI Intensification, and/or additional literacy materials/strategies. |
| Standards Aligned<br>ELA Instruction | YES teachers will benefit from onsite coaching support from CKLA experts who will help reinforce effective use and appropriate pacing of the Core Knowledge Language Arts (CKLA) literacy suite.   |
| Standards Aligned<br>ELA Instruction | YES teachers will benefit from ongoing support from the WCSD academic coaching team who will help reinforce best practices.  |
| Standards Aligned Math Instruction   | Math teachers will learn and review math best instructional practices and effective use of the SAVVAS math textbook and resources.   |

# **Structured Literacy Training**

| Action Step  |                   |                        |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| YES teachers will participate in Structured Literacy training. |                   |                        |
| Audience   |                   |                        |
| K-5 ELA Teachers   |                   |                        |
| Topics to be Included  |                   |                        |
| Structured Literacy  |                   |                        |
| Evidence of Learning   |                   |                        |
| PD Evaluation Form   |                   |                        |
| Lead Person/Position   | Anticipated Start | Anticipated Completion |
| Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment  | 2025-01-17        | 2025-02-17             |

# **Learning Format**

| Type of Activities                                  | Frequency                              |  |
|---|--|--|
| Inservice day                                       | Twice during the 2024-2025 school year |  |
| Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan |  |  |

- 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy
- 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students

### This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings

Structured Literacy

#### **CKLA Onsite Coaching**

#### **Action Step**

• YES teachers will benefit from onsite coaching support from CKLA experts who will help reinforce effective use and appropriate pacing of the Core Knowledge Language Arts (CKLA) literacy suite.

#### **Audience**

K-5 ELA Teachers

#### Topics to be Included

CKLA lessons, pacing, best practices, support for students

# Evidence of Learning

**Evaluation Forms** 

| Lead Person/Position   | Anticipated<br>Start | Anticipated Completion |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|
| Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment Medina Reynolds/Academic | 2024-10-21           | 2025-04-30             |
| Coach & Amy Stimmell/Principal   |                      |                        |

### **Learning Format**

| Type of Activities   | Frequency  |
|--|--|
| Coaching (peer-to-peer; school leader-to-teacher; other coaching models) | At least one time during the 2024-2025 school year |
|  |  |

#### **Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan**

- 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources
- 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students

### This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings

#### **Academic Coaching**

#### **Action Step**

• YES teachers will benefit from ongoing support from the WCSD academic coaching team who will help reinforce best practices.

#### **Audience**

K-5 ELA Teachers

| Topics to be Included  |             |             |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Educational Best Practices   |             |             |
| Evidence of Learning   |             |             |
| Evaluation Forms, Administrative/Coaching/Teacher Feedback                             |             |             |
| Lead Person/Position   | Anticipated | Anticipated |
|  | Start       | Completion  |
| Lynn Shultz/Director of Curriculum, Instruction, & Assessment Medina Reynolds/Academic | 2024-09-09  | 2025-05-30  |
| Coach  | 2024-09-09  | 2025-05-30  |

## **Learning Format**

| Type of Activities   | Frequency |
|--|-----------|
| Coaching (peer-to-peer; school leader-to-teacher; other coaching models) | Ongoing   |
| Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan                      |           |
| This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings             |           |

## **Math Instructional Training**

### **Action Step**

• Math teachers will learn and review math best instructional practices and effective use of the SAVVAS math textbook and resources.

#### Audience

K-5 Math Teachers

#### Topics to be Included

Math best instructional practices and effective use of the SAVVAS math textbook and resources

#### **Evidence of Learning**

Evaluation Forms, Administrative/Coaching/Teacher Feedback

| Lead Person/Position   | Anticipated Start | Anticipated Completion |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Amy Stimmell/Principal |                   |                        |

## **Learning Format**

| Type of Activities   | Frequency |
|--|-----------|
| Coaching (peer-to-peer; school leader-to-teacher; other coaching models) | Ongoing   |
| Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan                      |           |
|  |           |

This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings

# Approvals & Signatures

| Uploaded Files |  |
|----------------|--|
|                |  |

| Chief School Administrator               | Date |  |
|--|------|--|
|  |      |  |
| Building Principal Signature             | Date |  |
|  |      |  |
| School Improvement Facilitator Signature | Date |  |
|  |      |  |